International Journal For Advanced Research In Science & Technology
A peer reviewed international journal
ISSN: 2457-0362
www.ijarst.in

Characterizations of Planar and Outerplanar Graphs Through Forbidden Subgraphs

Dr. Jayashree. B .Shetty
Asst. Prof. in Department of Mathematics
Government First Grade College Humnabad – 585330,
District Bidar, Karnataka State, India.

Abstract

The characterization of graph families through forbidden substructures represents one of the most elegant and powerful paradigms in graph theory. Planar graphs—those that can be drawn in the plane without edge crossings—and their subclass of outerplanar graphs—those embeddable with all vertices on the outer face—admit particularly elegant forbidden subgraph characterizations that have become cornerstones of topological graph theory. These characterizations not only provide theoretical insight into graph structure but also have profound algorithmic implications for planarity testing and graph embedding problems.

This research paper provides a comprehensive, systematic review of the characterizations of planar and outerplanar graphs through forbidden subgraphs, forbidden minors, and related substructures. We examine the historical development from Kuratowski's seminal 1930 theorem through Wagner's minor-equivalent formulation to contemporary results for outerplanar graphs and generalizations to surfaces of higher genus.

A systematic literature review was conducted, synthesizing foundational results from classical graph theory (Kuratowski, 1930; Wagner, 1937), textbook treatments (Diestel, 2000; Imrich, Klavžar & Rall, 2008), and contemporary research on graph minors (Robertson & Seymour), outerplanar characterizations, and infinite graph extensions. Primary sources include peer-reviewed publications, standard textbooks, lecture notes, and arXiv preprints spanning 1930 through 2014.

The characterization of planar graphs exists in two equivalent formulations. Kuratowski's theorem (1930) states that a finite graph is planar if and only if it contains no subdivision of K_5 (the complete graph on five vertices) or $K_{3,3}$ (the complete bipartite graph on two partitions of three vertices each) as a subgraph . Wagner's theorem (1937) provides the minor-equivalent formulation: a graph is planar if and only if it has neither K_5 nor $K_{3,3}$ as a graph minor . Outerplanar graphs admit a simpler characterization: a graph is outerplanar if and only if it contains no subdivision of K_4 (the complete graph on four vertices) or $K_{2,3}$ (the complete bipartite graph with partitions of sizes two and three) as a minor . The class of graphs that become outerplanar after deleting one vertex has 57 excluded minors . These forbidden substructure characterizations are closed under the operations of taking subgraphs,

subdivisions, and minors, making them minor-closed families. The Robertson–Seymour graph minor theorem (1983-2004) generalizes these results, proving that every minor-closed family of finite graphs can be characterized by a finite list of forbidden minors . Applications include linear-time planarity testing algorithms and branch-and-cut methods for crossing minimization.

The forbidden subgraph paradigm provides both theoretical insight and algorithmic utility. Kuratowski's theorem establishes that planarity is an excluded-minor property, meaning the obstruction set is finite and known. The equivalence of Kuratowski's subdivision formulation and Wagner's minor formulation reflects the relationship between topological minors (homeomorphic subgraphs) and graph minors, which are equivalent for 3-connected graphs . Outerplanar graphs, being a subclass of planar graphs with additional restrictions (no vertices in the interior of faces), admit a smaller obstruction set ($\{K_4, K_{2,3}\}$) that reflects their simpler structure . The existence of finite forbidden minor characterizations for surfaces of higher genus, proved by Robertson and Seymour, demonstrates the power of the graph minor theory, though explicit obstruction lists are known only for the plane (2 graphs), the projective plane (35 graphs), and the torus (over 500,000 graphs) . For countable graphs embeddable into some compact surface, eight excluded minors characterize the class .

The characterization of planar and outerplanar graphs through forbidden subgraphs represents one of the most elegant achievements of graph theory. Kuratowski's theorem, with its twin obstructions K_5 and $K_{3,3}$, provides a complete and algorithmically useful description of planar graphs. Wagner's minor formulation extends this to the powerful language of graph minors, which culminated in the Robertson–Seymour theorem establishing that all minor-closed graph families have finite obstruction sets. Outerplanar graphs, characterized by the forbidden minors K_4 and $K_{2,3}$, demonstrate that subclasses of planar graphs admit even simpler obstruction sets. These characterizations continue to influence modern graph theory, algorithmic design, and applications in network visualization, VLSI design, and geographic information systems.

Keywords:

Planar graphs; Outerplanar graphs; Forbidden subgraphs; Graph minors; Kuratowski's theorem; Wagner's theorem; K_5; $K_{3,3}$; Graph embeddings; Topological graph theory; Subdivision; Homeomorphism; Minor-closed families; Robertson–Seymour theorem

1. Introduction

1.1 Background and Motivation

The question of whether a given graph can be drawn in the plane without edge crossings—the planarity problem—is one of the oldest and most fundamental questions in graph theory.

International Journal For Advanced Research In Science & Technology
A peer reviewed international journal www.ijarst.in
ISSN: 2457-0362

Planar graphs have been studied since the early days of the discipline, motivated both by theoretical interest and by practical applications in circuit design, network visualization, and geographic information systems.

The breakthrough came in 1930 when the Polish mathematician Kazimierz Kuratowski published a theorem that would become a cornerstone of graph theory. Kuratowski proved that a finite graph is planar if and only if it does not contain a subdivision of either of two specific graphs: the complete graph on five vertices K_5, or the complete bipartite graph $K_{3,3}$. This characterization—by what are now called *Kuratowski subgraphs*—is striking in its simplicity: planarity is determined by the absence of just two forbidden substructures.

Kuratowski's theorem is a prototypical example of a *forbidden graph characterization*. In such characterizations, a family of graphs is described by specifying a set of graphs that are *not* allowed to appear as substructures (subgraphs, induced subgraphs, subdivisions, or minors) within any member of the family . The natural and easy concept of subgraphs has become most fruitful in graph theory precisely because so many important classes of graphs are characterized by forbidden subgraphs .

1.2 The Forbidden Subgraph Paradigm

The power of forbidden substructure characterizations lies in their algorithmic implications and theoretical elegance. As noted in the literature, "numerous and important classes of graphs are characterized by forbidden subgraphs. Let us just recall that forbidden odd cycles as subgraphs characterize bipartite graphs, that the subgraphs K_5 and $K_{3,3}$ mark the origin of topological graph theory, and that we have used the characterization of outerplanar graphs by forbidden subgraphs" .

For a family of graphs to admit a forbidden substructure characterization, it must be *closed under taking substructures*. That is, if a graph belongs to the family, then all its subgraphs (or minors, or subdivisions, depending on the type of characterization) must also belong to the family. When this closure property holds, there always exists an obstruction set—the set of minimal graphs not in the family—but this obstruction set might be infinite . The Robertson–Seymour graph minor theorem establishes that for minor-closed families, the obstruction set is always finite, though explicit lists are known only for certain families .

1.3 Scope of This Paper

This paper provides a comprehensive review of the forbidden substructure characterizations of planar and outerplanar graphs. We examine:

1. **Kuratowski's theorem** (1930): characterization of planar graphs by forbidden subdivisions of K_5 and $K_{3,3}$
2. **Wagner's theorem** (1937): the minor-equivalent formulation
3. **Outerplanar graph characterizations**: forbidden minors K_4 and $K_{2,3}$

4. **Extensions and generalizations**: the Robertson–Seymour theorem and characterizations for higher surfaces
5. **Algorithmic implications**: planarity testing and obstruction detection

2. Definitions of Key Terms

Term	Definition
Planar Graph	A graph that can be drawn in the Euclidean plane such that no two edges intersect except at a common endpoint. By Fáry's theorem, such drawings can always be realized with straight-line edges without changing the graph-theoretic characterization .
Outerplanar Graph	A planar graph that has an embedding in which all vertices lie on the boundary of the outer face. Equivalently, a graph is outerplanar if it can be drawn in the plane with no edge crossings and all vertices on the same face .
Subgraph	A graph H is a subgraph of graph G if the vertex set of H is a subset of the vertex set of G and the edge set of H is a subset of the edge set of G.
Subdivision (Topological Minor)	A graph H is a subdivision of graph G if H can be obtained from G by replacing edges with paths of one or more edges (i.e., subdividing edges). If G contains a subdivision of H as a subgraph, we write $H \preceq_t G$ and say H is a **topological minor** of G .
Kuratowski Subgraph	A subgraph of a graph G that is a subdivision of K_5 or $K_{3,3}$. The presence of a Kuratowski subgraph characterizes non-planarity by Kuratowski's theorem .
Graph Minor	A graph H is a minor of graph G if H can be obtained from a subgraph of G by contracting edges. The contraction operation identifies two adjacent vertices into a single vertex, suppressing loops and parallel edges. We denote this by $H \preceq_m G$.

International Journal For Advanced Research In Science & Technology
A peer reviewed international journal
ISSN: 2457-0362
www.ijarst.in
IJARST

Term	Definition
Edge Contraction	The operation of removing an edge $e = uv$ and identifying vertices u and v into a single vertex adjacent to all neighbors of u and v. The resulting graph is denoted G/e .
Forbidden Graph Characterization	A method of specifying a family of graphs by defining a set of obstruction graphs that are not permitted to appear as substructures (subgraphs, induced subgraphs, subdivisions, or minors) within any graph of the family .
Obstruction Set	The set of minimal graphs not belonging to a given family, with respect to some containment relation (subgraph, minor, etc.). If the family is closed under taking substructures, the obstruction set uniquely characterizes the family .
Minor-Closed Family	A family of graphs $\mathcal{F}$ such that if $G \in \mathcal{F}$ and H is a minor of G, then $H \in \mathcal{F}$. Planar graphs, outerplanar graphs, forests, and graphs embeddable on any fixed surface are all minor-closed families .
K_5	The complete graph on five vertices, where every pair of distinct vertices is connected by an edge. K_5 has 5 vertices and 10 edges. It is non-planar and one of the two Kuratowski obstructions .
$K_{3,3}$	The complete bipartite graph with partitions of three vertices each, where all edges go between the two partitions. $K_{3,3}$ has 6 vertices and 9 edges. It is the other Kuratowski obstruction .
K_4	The complete graph on four vertices, which is planar but not outerplanar. K_4 is a forbidden minor for outerplanarity .
$K_{2,3}$	The complete bipartite graph with partitions of two and three vertices. Together with K_4, it forms the forbidden minor set for outerplanar graphs .

3. Need for the Study

The study of forbidden substructure characterizations for planar and outerplanar graphs is motivated by several factors.

First, historical and foundational significance. Kuratowski's theorem (1930) is one of the seminal results in graph theory, marking the beginning of topological graph theory as a discipline. Understanding this theorem and its generalizations is essential for any comprehensive study of graph theory .

Second, algorithmic implications. Forbidden substructure characterizations directly inform planarity testing algorithms. Since a non-planar graph must contain a Kuratowski subgraph, planarity testing can be reduced to searching for these obstructions. Linear-time algorithms for planarity testing exist, and the extraction of Kuratowski subgraphs from non-planar inputs is used in branch-and-cut algorithms for crossing minimization .

Third, theoretical depth and generalization. Kuratowski's theorem has been extended in multiple directions: to characterizations by minors (Wagner's theorem), to characterizations for outerplanar graphs, to characterizations for other surfaces (projective plane, torus), and ultimately to the Robertson–Seymour graph minor theorem, which shows that every minor-closed family has a finite obstruction set . Tracing these generalizations illuminates the development of modern structural graph theory.

Fourth, pedagogical value. The characterization of planar graphs by two forbidden subgraphs and outerplanar graphs by two forbidden minors provides accessible entry points for students learning about graph embeddings, graph minors, and structural graph theory.

Fifth, ongoing research relevance. Recent work continues to refine and extend forbidden substructure characterizations, including characterizations of graphs embeddable on surfaces, near-outerplanar graphs, and infinite graphs . The study of forbidden substructures remains an active research area.

4. Aims and Objectives

4.1 Primary Aim

To provide a comprehensive, systematic review of the characterizations of planar and outerplanar graphs through forbidden subgraphs, subdivisions, and minors, examining the historical development, theoretical foundations, and contemporary extensions.

4.2 Specific Objectives

Objective 1: To present and prove the fundamental characterization of planar graphs via Kuratowski's theorem (forbidden subdivisions of K_5 and $K_{3,3}$).

Objective 2: To examine Wagner's theorem and the equivalence of the subdivision and minor formulations for planar graphs.

5. Hypotheses

Based on the analysis of the literature, this review examines the following hypotheses:

H₁ (Kuratowski Characterization Hypothesis): A finite graph is planar if and only if it contains no subdivision of K_5 or $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph .

6. Literature Search Strategy

6.1 Databases and Sources

Source Type	Specific Sources
Academic Databases	MathSciNet, zbMATH, arXiv.org (math.CO, cs.DM)
Core Graph Theory References	Standard textbooks (Diestel, Bondy & Murty, West)
Historical Papers	Kuratowski (1930), Wagner (1937), Robertson & Seymour series
Online Resources	Wikipedia (for standard definitions and historical context)
Lecture Notes	University lecture materials (Dvořák, 2014; Goldberg, 2011)
Research Preprints	Georgakopoulos (2014) on excluded minors for compact surfaces

6.2 Key Primary Sources

Source	Year	Contribution
Kuratowski, "Sur le problème des courbes gauches en topologie"	1930	Original statement of Kuratowski's theorem
Wagner, "Über eine Eigenschaft der ebenen Komplexe"	1937	Minor formulation, Wagner's theorem
Diestel, *Graph Theory*	2000	Textbook treatment; outerplanar characterization
Robertson & Seymour series	1983-2004	Graph Minor Theorem
Imrich, Klavžar & Rall, *Topics in Graph Theory*	2008	Forbidden subgraph characterizations overview

Source	Year	Contribution
Ding & Dziobiak, "Excluded-minor characterization of apex-outerplanar"	2013	57 excluded minors for near-outerplanar graphs
Georgakopoulos, "The excluded minors for embeddability into a compact surface"	2014	8 excluded minors for countable graphs
Dvořák, "Graph minors" (lecture notes)	2014	Kuratowski–Wagner equivalence proof
Goldberg, "Kuratowski theorem" (lecture notes)	2016	Proof of Kuratowski's theorem

6.3 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion Criteria:

1. Foundational papers and textbook treatments of Kuratowski's theorem
2. Sources establishing forbidden minor characterizations
3. Research extending characterizations to subclasses or higher surfaces
4. Peer-reviewed publications and standard textbooks

Exclusion Criteria:

1. Non-English primary sources (except when English summaries available)
2. Sources focusing solely on algorithmic planarity testing without discussing forbidden substructures

7. Research Methodology

7.1 Research Design

This paper employs a **systematic literature review with theoretical synthesis** methodology, integrating historical results, textbook treatments, and contemporary research extensions.

7.2 Data Extraction Framework

Category	Extracted Elements
Historical Sources	Original authors, publication years, theorem statements, proof approaches
Characterization Statements	Family of graphs, forbidden substructure type, obstruction set

Category	Extracted Elements
Closure Properties	Subgraph-closed, minor-closed, subdivision-closed
Generalizations	Higher surfaces, infinite graphs, subclasses
Algorithmic Applications	Planarity testing, obstruction extraction

7.3 Analytical Strategy

Historical Analysis: Tracing the development from Kuratowski (1930) through Wagner (1937) to Robertson & Seymour (1983-2004).

Comparative Analysis: Comparing subdivision and minor formulations; comparing planar and outerplanar obstruction sets.

Theoretical Synthesis: Integrating results from multiple sources into a coherent presentation.

8. Strong Points of Forbidden Subgraph Characterizations

8.1 Elegance and Simplicity

The forbidden substructure characterizations for planar and outerplanar graphs are remarkably simple. Planarity is determined by the absence of just two graphs—K_5 and $K_{3,3}$—either as subdivisions or minors. Outerplanarity is determined by the absence of K_4 and $K_{2,3}$ as minors . This simplicity makes these results accessible and memorable.

8.2 Algorithmic Utility

Forbidden substructure characterizations directly inform planarity testing algorithms. As noted in the literature, "a Kuratowski subgraph of a nonplanar graph can be found in linear time" . Moreover, "the extraction of these subgraphs is needed, e.g., in branch and cut algorithms for crossing minimization" .

8.3 Theoretical Depth

The characterization of planar graphs via forbidden substructures is not an isolated result but part of a much deeper theory. Kuratowski's theorem can be seen as the first instance of a general phenomenon: minor-closed families always have finite obstruction sets (the Robertson–Seymour theorem) .

8.4 Equivalence of Formulations

The equivalence between Kuratowski's subdivision formulation and Wagner's minor formulation is mathematically significant, demonstrating the relationship between topological minors and graph minors—a relationship that holds for 3-connected graphs .

8.5 Extendability to Subclasses

The forbidden minor approach extends naturally to subclasses. For outerplanar graphs, the obstruction set $\{K_4, K_{2,3}\}$ is known . For graphs that become outerplanar after deleting one vertex (apex-outerplanar graphs), the obstruction set of 57 minors has been characterized .

8.6 Connection to Surface Embeddings

The theory extends beyond the plane. Robertson and Seymour proved that graphs embeddable on any fixed compact surface admit finite forbidden minor characterizations, though explicit lists are known only for the projective plane (35 graphs) . For countable graphs that embed into *some* compact surface (allowing arbitrarily high genus), eight excluded minors characterize the class .

9. Weak Points and Research Gaps

9.1 Computational Complexity of Obstruction Detection

While Kuratowski's theorem provides a finite obstruction set, checking for subdivisions of K_5 or $K_{3,3}$ directly is not trivial. However, linear-time planarity testing algorithms exist that do not explicitly search for Kuratowski subgraphs .

9.2 Non-Explicit Obstruction Sets for Higher Surfaces

Although the Robertson–Seymour theorem guarantees the existence of a finite forbidden minor set for any fixed surface, explicit lists are known only for the sphere (2 graphs), the projective plane (35 graphs), and the torus (over 500,000 graphs). The obstruction sets for most surfaces remain unknown .

9.3 Induced Subgraph Characterizations

Some graph families are characterized by forbidden *induced* subgraphs rather than arbitrary subgraphs or minors. Planar graphs are not characterized by forbidden induced subgraphs (since taking an induced subgraph of a planar graph remains planar, but the converse does not hold).

9.4 Infinite Graphs

Kuratowski's theorem extends to infinite graphs but requires care: countable graphs may require considering infinite subdivisions or topological minors. Recent work by Georgakopoulos (2014) provides an excluded minor characterization for countable graphs embeddable into some compact surface .

10. Current Trends and History

10.1 Historical Timeline

Year	Development

International Journal For Advanced Research In Science & Technology
A peer reviewed international journal
www.ijarst.in
ISSN: 2457-0362

Year	Development
1930	Kuratowski publishes theorem characterizing planar graphs by forbidden subdivisions of K_5 and $K_{3,3}$. Frink and Smith independently prove the theorem but do not publish .
1937	Wagner publishes minor-equivalent formulation. Earlier (1930s), the cubic planar graph case was known by van Kampen and Flores .
1974-2004	Robertson and Seymour publish their series of 20 papers on graph minors, culminating in the proof of Wagner's conjecture (now the Robertson–Seymour theorem) .
2000	Diestel's *Graph Theory* provides textbook treatment of outerplanar characterization .
2013	Ding and Dziobiak characterize apex-outerplanar graphs by 57 excluded minors .
2014	Georgakopoulos determines the 8 excluded minors for countable graphs embeddable into a compact surface .

10.2 Current Research Directions

Excluded minors for other surfaces: Determining explicit forbidden minor sets for surfaces beyond the projective plane remains an active research area.

Near-planar graph classes: Characterizing graphs that are "nearly" planar (e.g., graphs that become planar after deleting one vertex or edge).

Infinite graph embeddings: Extending forbidden minor characterizations to infinite graphs, including refinements of the Robertson–Seymour theory.

11. Discussion

11.1 Synthesis of Key Findings

Kuratowski's theorem (1930) states that a finite graph is planar if and only if it does not contain a subdivision of K_5 or $K_{3,3}$ as a subgraph. A *subdivision* is obtained by replacing edges with paths of one or more edges . The two forbidden graphs are non-planar and are minimal in the sense that removing any edge or vertex makes them planar.

Wagner's theorem (1937) provides the minor-equivalent formulation: a finite graph is planar if and only if it does not contain K_5 or $K_{3,3}$ as a minor . The two formulations are equivalent because a graph contains a subdivision of a 3-connected graph (like K_5 and $K_{3,3}$) if and only if it contains that graph as a minor .

Outerplanar graphs admit a simpler characterization: a graph is outerplanar if and only if it contains no minor isomorphic to K_4 or $K_{2,3}$. The graph K_4 (complete graph on 4 vertices) is planar but not outerplanar; $K_{2,3}$ is the smallest complete bipartite graph that is not outerplanar.

The class of near-outerplanar graphs—graphs that become outerplanar after deleting one vertex—has a known forbidden minor set of 57 graphs . This demonstrates that the forbidden minor approach scales to graph classes defined by small modifications of base properties.

11.2 The Robertson–Seymour Theorem

The graph minor theorem of Robertson and Seymour generalizes Kuratowski's theorem dramatically: it proves that *every* minor-closed family of finite graphs can be characterized by a finite set of forbidden minors . The proof spans approximately 500 pages across 20 papers and is considered one of the deepest results in graph theory.

11.3 Algorithmic Implications

Because planarity is a minor-closed property and the obstruction set is known and finite, there exists a polynomial-time algorithm for planarity testing (indeed, linear-time algorithms exist). For any minor-closed family with a known finite obstruction set, membership testing reduces to checking for the presence of any obstruction as a minor .

11.4 The Role of 3-Connectivity

The equivalence between Kuratowski's subdivision characterization and Wagner's minor characterization relies on the 3-connectivity of K_5 and $K_{3,3}$. A graph contains a subdivision of a 3-connected graph if and only if it contains that graph as a minor . This result highlights the importance of connectivity in graph minor theory.

11.5 Outerplanar Graphs as a Case Study

Outerplanar graphs illustrate how restricting the embedding condition (all vertices on the outer face) yields a more restrictive forbidden minor set. The obstructions K_4 and $K_{2,3}$ are both planar but not outerplanar, and any graph containing either as a minor cannot be outerplanar .

12. Results

12.1 Summary of Key Characterizations

Graph Family	Forbidden Substructure Type	Obstruction Set	Source
Planar	Subdivision	K_5, $K_{3,3}$	Kuratowski, 1930

Graph Family	Forbidden Substructure Type	Obstruction Set	Source
	(topological minor)		
Planar	Graph minor	K_5, $K_{3,3}$	Wagner, 1937
Outerplanar	Graph minor	K_4, $K_{2,3}$	Diestel, 2000
Apex-outerplanar	Graph minor	57 graphs	Ding & Dziobiak, 2013
Forests	Graph minor	K_3 (triangle)	Definition
Series-parallel	Graph minor	K_4	Dirac
Countable graphs embeddable in some compact surface	Graph minor	8 graphs	Georgakopoulos, 2014

12.2 Planar Graph Characterization Details

Kuratowski's Theorem (Subdivision Form):

A finite graph G is planar if and only if it does not contain a subgraph that is a subdivision of K_5 or $K_{3,3}$.

A *subdivision* of a graph H is obtained by replacing edges of H with paths of one or more edges. The graphs K_5 and $K_{3,3}$ are non-planar and are minimal with respect to this property.

Wagner's Theorem (Minor Form):

A finite graph G is planar if and only if it does not contain K_5 or $K_{3,3}$ as a minor .

12.3 Outerplanar Graph Characterization

Outerplanar Characterization:

A graph is outerplanar if and only if it contains no minor isomorphic to K_4 or $K_{2,3}$.

Equivalently, a graph is outerplanar if it can be embedded in the plane with all vertices on the outer face.

12.4 Graph Minor Theory Results

Robertson–Seymour Graph Minor Theorem:

Every minor-closed family of finite graphs can be characterized by a finite set of forbidden minors .

International Journal For Advanced Research In Science & Technology
A peer reviewed international journal
ISSN: 2457-0362
www.ijarst.in

This theorem generalizes Kuratowski's theorem and Wagner's theorem, which are specific instances for the family of planar graphs.

12.5 Minor-Closed Families Examples

Family	Forbidden Minors	Property
Planar graphs	$\{K_5, K_{3,3}\}$	Minor-closed
Outerplanar graphs	$\{K_4, K_{2,3}\}$	Minor-closed
Forests	$\{K_3\}$	Minor-closed
Graphs of genus ≤ g	Finite (by Robertson–Seymour)	Minor-closed

13. Conclusion

The characterization of planar and outerplanar graphs through forbidden substructures represents one of the most elegant and influential achievements in graph theory. Key conclusions from this review:

First, Kuratowski's theorem (1930) established the fundamental insight that planarity is determined by the absence of just two forbidden subdivisions: those of the complete graph K_5 and the complete bipartite graph $K_{3,3}$. This result not only solved the planarity characterization problem but also inaugurated the field of topological graph theory.

Second, Wagner's theorem (1937) provided an equivalent formulation in the language of graph minors, demonstrating that a graph is planar if and only if it contains neither K_5 nor $K_{3,3}$ as a minor . The equivalence between the subdivision and minor formulations relies on the 3-connectivity of these forbidden graphs.

Third, outerplanar graphs—a subclass of planar graphs with all vertices on the outer face—admit an even simpler characterization: they are precisely the graphs that contain no minor isomorphic to K_4 or $K_{2,3}$.

Fourth, the Robertson–Seymour graph minor theorem generalizes these results dramatically, proving that *every* minor-closed family of finite graphs has a finite set of forbidden minors . This deep result subsumes Kuratowski's theorem as a special case.

Fifth, these characterizations have profound algorithmic implications. Since the forbidden minors for planarity are known and finite, planarity can be tested in linear time. More generally, for any minor-closed family with known obstruction set, membership testing reduces to minor detection.

International Journal For Advanced Research In Science & Technology
A peer reviewed international journal
ISSN: 2457-0362
www.ijarst.in

Sixth, the theory extends to other surfaces and infinite graphs. While explicit forbidden minor lists are known only for the plane (2 graphs) and projective plane (35 graphs), the Robertson–Seymour theorem guarantees their existence for all surfaces. Recent work has determined the 8 excluded minors for countable graphs embeddable into some compact surface .

The characterization of planar and outerplanar graphs through forbidden substructures remains a cornerstone of graph theory, continuing to inspire research in structural graph theory, algorithmic design, and topological combinatorics.

14. Suggestions and Recommendations

14.1 For Students and Educators

Study the proof of Kuratowski's theorem. Understanding the proof illuminates the structure of planar graphs and the role of connectivity in graph embeddings. Key steps include: (1) showing that minimal non-planar graphs are 3-connected, (2) applying edge contraction operations, and (3) analyzing the pattern of adjacencies .

Master the equivalence between subdivisions and minors. The relationship that a graph contains a subdivision of a 3-connected graph if and only if it contains that graph as a minor is fundamental to graph minor theory .

Explore the minor-closed hierarchy. Understanding which properties are minor-closed (planarity, being a forest, series-parallel, etc.) and which are not (e.g., being a tree) provides insight into the scope of the Robertson–Seymour theorem.

14.2 For Researchers

Investigate obstruction sets for graph classes defined by vertex deletions. The characterization of apex-outerplanar graphs by 57 excluded minors demonstrates that such problems are tractable, though computationally intensive .

Extend forbidden minor characterizations to new surfaces. While the Robertson–Seymour theorem guarantees existence, explicit lists are known for few surfaces. Computational approaches to determining obstruction sets remain a research challenge.

Study infinite graph embeddings. Recent work by Georgakopoulos (2014) on countable graphs embeddable into compact surfaces shows that the theory extends beyond finite graphs .

14.3 For Algorithm Designers

Leverage the known obstruction set for planarity testing. Linear-time planarity testing algorithms exist; for non-planar inputs, Kuratowski subgraph extraction can be performed in linear time as well .

Use Kuratowski subgraph extraction in crossing minimization. Branch-and-cut algorithms for crossing minimization rely on the ability to extract Kuratowski subgraphs as cutting planes .

15. Future Scope

15.1 Immediate Research Priorities

Determining explicit forbidden minor sets for surfaces of higher genus. While the projective plane obstruction set is known (35 graphs), the torus obstruction set is estimated to contain over 500,000 graphs. Computational approaches to determining obstruction sets remain an active area.

Characterizing additional near-planar graph classes. What are the forbidden minors for graphs that become planar after deleting one edge? After deleting two vertices? Systematic study of such classes extends the work on apex-outerplanar graphs .

Infinite graph minor theory. Refining the Robertson–Seymour theory for infinite graphs, including the role of ends and infinite subdivisions, remains an active frontier .

15.2 Emerging Frontiers

Parameterized graph minor theory. Understanding the structure of graphs that exclude a fixed minor has led to deep structural decomposition theorems (graphs of bounded treewidth, graphs embeddable in a surface with bounded treewidth). Extensions to exclude multiple minors continue to develop.

Algorithmic applications of forbidden minor characterizations. Membership testing for minor-closed families with known obstruction sets can be reduced to checking for the presence of obstructions as minors, which can be solved in $O(n^3)$ time using algorithms for minor detection.

15.3 Theoretical Directions

Forbidden substructures beyond minors. Other containment relations (induced subgraphs, immersions, topological minors) yield different obstruction theories. Understanding the relationship between these containment orders is an ongoing area of research.

Extensions to hypergraphs and matroids. The concept of minor-closed families extends to matroids and hypergraphs, with analogues of the Robertson–Seymour theorem in some settings.

16. References

1. Kuratowski's theorem. (2015). *Wikipedia*.
2. Imrich, W., Klavžar, S., & Rall, D. (2008). Subgraphs. In *Topics in Graph Theory*. Taylor & Francis.
3. Czumaj, A., Georgakopoulos, A., Král, D., Lozin, V., & Pikhurko, O. (2015). New tools and results in graph minor structure theory. In *Surveys in Combinatorics 2015*. Cambridge University Press.
4. Ding, G., & Dziobiak, S. (2013). Excluded-minor characterization of apex-outerplanar graphs. *Semantic Scholar*.
5. Kuratowski's theorem—Topology. (2014). *Ohio State University Mathematics*.

6. Dvořák, Z. (2014). Graph minors and equivalence of Wagner's and Kuratowski's theorem. *Charles University Lecture Notes*.
7. Forbidden graph characterization. (2010). *Wikipedia*.
8. Graph Minor Theory and Wagner's Conjecture. (2005). *American Mathematical Society*.
9. Georgakopoulos, A. (2014). The excluded minors for embeddability into a compact surface. *arXiv preprint*.
10. Goldberg, M. (2011). Kuratowski theorem. *Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Lecture Notes*
11. Bondy, J. A., & Murty, U. S. R. (2008). *Graph Theory*. Springer.
12. Diestel, R. (2017). *Graph Theory* (5th ed.). Springer.
13. Kuratowski, K. (1930). Sur le problème des courbes gauches en topologie. *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, 15, 271-283.
14. Mohar, B. (2017). Graph minors and surface embedding theory. In L. W. Beineke & R. J. Wilson (Eds.), *Topics in Topological Graph Theory*. Cambridge University Press.
15. Robertson, N., & Seymour, P. D. (1983-2004). Graph minors I-XX. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*.
16. Thomassen, C. (1997). Planarity and graph minors. In R. L. Graham, M. Grötschel, & L. Lovász (Eds.), *Handbook of Combinatorics*. Elsevier.
17. Wagner, K. (1937). Über eine Eigenschaft der ebenen Komplexe. *Mathematische Annalen*, 114(1), 570-590.
18. West, D. B. (2001). *Introduction to Graph Theory* (2nd ed.). Prentice Hall.