



**LABOR AND LOOMS: THE LIVES OF BHAGALPUR'S SILK WEAVERS UNDER  
BRITISH RULE**

**Pratap Raj**

Research Scholar, Department of Historical Studies and Archaeology, Central University of  
South Bihar, Gaya, India

**Abstract:**

This article explores the lives of Bhagalpur's silk weavers under British rule, examining how colonial policies reshaped the region's silk industry and its impact on local labor. Bhagalpur, renowned for its high-quality silk production, saw a significant transformation as British colonial rule prioritized raw silk extraction over traditional weaving. The introduction of factory-based production systems and the mechanization of silk manufacturing led to the decline of artisanal handloom weaving. This article delves into the economic exploitation of weavers, their harsh working conditions, and the social changes brought about by the transition from traditional craftsmanship to factory labor. It also highlights the resistance and resilience of Bhagalpur's weavers in the face of these disruptions. By analyzing labor conditions and examining the socio-economic effects of British industrialization policies, this paper offers insights into the broader implications of colonial economic structures on Indian artisans.

**Keywords:** Bhagalpur, silk weavers, British colonial rule, labor exploitation, handloom weaving, factory production, economic exploitation, colonial industrialization, silk industry, social change.

**Introduction**

Bhagalpur, a district located in the eastern part of India in the state of Bihar, has long been known as a center for silk production, particularly for its renowned Tussar and Mulberry silks. The region's proximity to the fertile plains of the Ganges River provided an ideal environment for sericulture, the cultivation of silkworms, which became integral to Bhagalpur's economy. Traditionally, Bhagalpur's silk was highly valued for its quality, and the region's silk products were sought after in both domestic and international markets. The city had a strong tradition of artisanal weaving, where silk was woven into intricate textiles using handlooms, a practice passed down through generations of local families.

During the colonial period, Bhagalpur's silk industry played a pivotal role in the broader Indian textile sector, contributing to the extraction of raw silk that fed the global textile markets, particularly in Britain. The British colonial administration's policies of extracting raw materials for the benefit of the imperial economy significantly shaped the production and trade of Bhagalpur's silk. While the region's silk weavers had previously enjoyed some autonomy in their craft, colonial intervention shifted the focus towards mass production for export, disrupting the local economy and weaving traditions. Bhagalpur's prominence as a silk-producing center in the



colonial economy also positioned it within the larger global trade network, linking local labor with global markets in a system dominated by British interests.

This article examines the profound impact of British colonial policies on the lives of traditional silk weavers in Bhagalpur. It focuses on the ways in which economic exploitation, changes in labor systems, and the shift from artisanal production to factory-based manufacturing under British rule altered the social and economic fabric of the region. By analyzing the conditions of labor in the silk industry, the article explores the transition from a vibrant, community-driven handloom industry to a factory-based system that prioritized mass production for colonial trade. The economic, social, and cultural ramifications of this transformation not only affected the weavers' livelihoods but also reshaped the traditional silk industry in Bhagalpur, leaving a lasting legacy that continues to influence the region's economy today.

## **The Role of Silk Weavers in Colonial Bhagalpur**

**Artisanal Weaving Tradition:** Before the arrival of British colonialism, Bhagalpur's silk industry was an important part of the local economy, shaped by a long-standing tradition of artisanal weaving. Local weavers, known for their skills, had cultivated the craft of silk production over centuries, passing it down through generations. The region was famous for producing high-quality silk, especially Tussar and Mulberry silk, which was integral to both local consumption and trade. The weaving community in Bhagalpur was composed of skilled artisans who played a vital role in the socio-economic structure of the region. These artisans worked from home or in small workshops, using handlooms to weave intricate patterns into silk fabric. The local weaving industry was embedded within the cultural fabric of Bhagalpur, where the production of silk was more than just an economic activity; it was a key aspect of local identity and social cohesion.

As noted by Hunter (1881), before colonial rule, the weaving community of Bhagalpur was largely independent and self-sustaining. The demand for high-quality silk textiles was strong both within India and in international markets, with Bhagalpur's silk weaving industry flourishing in the pre-colonial era (Hunter, 1881, p. 210). The local economy was bolstered by this artisanal production, which created jobs for not only weavers but also sericulturists (those involved in silk farming), dyers, and traders.

**Weavers' Skills and Techniques:** Bhagalpur's weavers employed a range of traditional techniques that had been developed over centuries. The silk weaving methods used by these artisans were intricate and labor-intensive, requiring a high level of expertise. The weaving process in Bhagalpur was typically done on handlooms, where the weaver used their skill to create fine, durable textiles with rich designs. The handloom process was considered an art form, with weavers often specializing in complex patterns that made Bhagalpur's silk textiles highly sought after.

One of the key techniques was the use of Tussar silk, which was distinct due to its natural golden color and texture. Weavers in Bhagalpur would combine Tussar silk with Mulberry silk to produce textiles that were both resilient and beautifully crafted. According to Cumming (1908), the intricate designs, which included motifs inspired by nature and regional traditions, made Bhagalpur's silk products unique and highly valued in markets across India and beyond (Cumming, 1908, p. 71).



The weavers' skillset, honed over generations, allowed them to produce silk fabrics of exceptional quality, including a variety of textiles for use in garments, home décor, and ceremonial purposes. These skills were not only a significant cultural asset but also contributed to Bhagalpur's role as a major player in the broader Indian textile trade.

**Economic Impact:** The silk industry in Bhagalpur was central to the region's economy long before British colonial influence began to reshape it. Silk weaving provided livelihoods for thousands of local families, contributing to both direct and indirect employment. As discussed by Dutta and Nanavaty (2007), Bhagalpur's silk industry created a significant network of workers, including weavers, dyers, sericulturists, and traders, who were involved in every aspect of the production process, from raw silk cultivation to the final textile product (Dutta & Nanavaty, 2007, p. 120).

Locally, silk weaving supported a thriving economy based on craft-based production, where artisans enjoyed a degree of autonomy. The economic significance of Bhagalpur's silk extended beyond the local market, as the region's high-quality products were traded across India and exported to international markets, particularly in Europe and the Middle East. Hunter (1881) mentions that Bhagalpur's silk was highly regarded for its quality, making it a key commodity in the global trade network (Hunter, 1881, p. 220).

Before British colonialism, Bhagalpur's silk industry was a crucial component of the broader Indian textile sector, which was one of the largest and most sophisticated in the world. However, with the arrival of the British and their focus on extracting raw materials for British industries, the structure of Bhagalpur's silk industry began to shift. British economic policies promoted the extraction of raw silk for export, rather than the development of local textile manufacturing, leading to a gradual shift in the local economy. According to Chaudhuri (1978), this shift not only impacted the weavers but also led to the decline of traditional industries in the region (Chaudhuri, 1978, p. 160).

In conclusion, before British colonial policies took hold, Bhagalpur's silk industry played an essential role in the local economy and cultural identity of the region. The skilled weavers of Bhagalpur produced high-quality textiles that were highly valued in both local and global markets. However, the introduction of colonial economic policies, with a focus on raw material extraction for British industries, marked the beginning of significant changes to Bhagalpur's economy and its weaving traditions.

## **British Colonial Policies and Their Impact on Silk Weavers**

**Deindustrialization:** British colonial policies significantly disrupted Bhagalpur's traditional silk industry, particularly through the prioritization of raw silk exports over the production of finished textiles. Before the colonial period, Bhagalpur was home to a thriving handloom weaving industry, where local artisans produced high-quality silk fabrics using traditional methods. However, with the British focus on extracting raw materials from India, the region's silk industry began to shift from manufacturing finished textiles to focusing solely on producing raw silk for export to Britain. The British East India Company played a pivotal role in this transformation, prioritizing the export of raw materials like silk over the development of indigenous industries. According to Chaudhuri



(1978), this policy of raw material extraction ensured that India remained a supplier of raw goods for British industries, preventing the growth of a competitive textile manufacturing sector within the country (Chaudhuri, 1978, p. 162). The emphasis on raw silk production in Bhagalpur undermined the local handloom weaving economy, as weavers were no longer able to access raw materials at reasonable prices. Instead, the raw silk they produced was sent to Britain for processing into finished goods, leaving the local workforce reliant on lower-paying, less skilled labor.

The result of these colonial policies was the gradual deindustrialization of Bhagalpur's handloom weaving industry. As British policies favored raw silk exports over the finished products, the weavers' ability to sustain their traditional livelihoods was compromised. This shift was part of a broader trend of deindustrialization across India, as colonial policies systematically dismantled indigenous industries to serve imperial interests (Dutta & Nanavaty, 2007, p. 142).

**Economic Exploitation:** The economic exploitation of silk weavers in Bhagalpur was another consequence of British colonial policies, which entailed unfair wage practices, the imposition of high taxes, and monopolistic control over the silk trade. The British East India Company, along with British intermediaries, controlled the prices of raw silk and dictated the terms of trade. Weavers, who were traditionally independent artisans, found themselves increasingly at the mercy of these foreign monopolies.

As Hunter (1881) notes, the wages paid to weavers were low and were determined by intermediaries who exploited the weavers' dependence on their trade connections. The weavers' work was undervalued, and they were often forced to accept whatever wages were offered, even though their labor produced highly sought-after silk textiles. The British system of pricing, which controlled the cost of raw silk and finished goods, left the weavers with little bargaining power (Hunter, 1881, p. 255).

In addition to the exploitation of wages, weavers in Bhagalpur also faced heavy taxes levied by the colonial government. The British administration-imposed taxes on land, silk production, and even the tools used by artisans. These taxes further burdened the weavers, making it difficult for them to sustain their livelihoods (Cumming, 1908, p. 84). Furthermore, the monopolistic control over the silk trade meant that local weavers had no access to competitive markets. The East India Company controlled the silk trade, dictating both the prices and the channels through which the silk was sold, and ensuring that the profits flowed primarily to British merchants and industrialists (Davini, 2009, p. 60).

**Shift to Factory-based Production:** The shift from traditional handloom weaving to factory-based production in Bhagalpur was another significant impact of British colonial policies. The mechanization of silk production, introduced by the British in an effort to increase output for the global textile market, displaced the traditional methods of silk weaving that had been practiced in the region for centuries.

As British industrial policies evolved, the British government and entrepreneurs pushed for the introduction of machinery that could produce silk more efficiently. This move toward mechanization was in line with the broader goals of colonial economic strategies, which sought to



maximize the extraction of raw materials and minimize local production capabilities. According to Cumming (1908), the introduction of mechanized looms and spinning machines in Bhagalpur led to a sharp decline in the demand for handloom weavers, as factory-based production could generate higher volumes of silk fabric at lower costs (Cumming, 1908, p. 92).

This shift had far-reaching consequences for the livelihoods and social status of Bhagalpur's weavers. Traditional handloom weaving was not only a source of income for many families, but it was also a skill passed down through generations. As the factory-based system took hold, many weavers found themselves displaced or relegated to lower-paying, unskilled work within the new industrial setups. The introduction of machinery reduced the need for skilled labor, as factory workers performed repetitive, mechanized tasks rather than engaging in the intricate, artisanal weaving processes that had defined the industry (Dutta & Nanavaty, 2007, p. 145).

The transition to factory-based production in Bhagalpur also signified the broader changes occurring within the Indian economy under British rule. This shift was emblematic of the deindustrialization that took place in many parts of India, as traditional industries were displaced by British-controlled manufacturing systems designed to benefit the colonial economy. Bhagalpur's weavers, once a symbol of regional craftsmanship and economic independence, were increasingly relegated to the periphery of an industrial system that they no longer controlled.

### **Conclusion:**

In conclusion, British colonial policies had a profound impact on Bhagalpur's silk industry, leading to the deindustrialization of traditional handloom weaving, economic exploitation of local weavers, and the shift to factory-based production. These changes not only disrupted the local economy but also reshaped the social fabric of the region. The imposition of unfair wages, the extraction of raw silk for export, and the mechanization of silk production under British rule left a legacy of economic dependency that would continue to affect Bhagalpur's silk industry long after the colonial period.

### **Labor Conditions and Social Impact**

**Living Conditions:** The living conditions of Bhagalpur's silk weavers under British colonial rule were harsh and exploitative. Weaving, once a respected craft passed down through generations, became a grueling and economically precarious occupation as British colonial policies restructured the local silk industry. With the imposition of unfair wages, long working hours, and the lack of any social protections or worker rights, Bhagalpur's weavers found themselves trapped in cycles of poverty.

Weavers were paid very little for the labor-intensive process of silk weaving. As Hunter (1881) points out, the wages received by the weavers were far below the value of the textiles they produced, which were often sold at much higher prices on international markets (Hunter, 1881, p. 269). The disparity between the weavers' wages and the profits reaped by British merchants and factory owners exemplified the exploitation embedded within colonial economic structures. Furthermore, the payment system often forced weavers into a debt cycle, as they had to buy raw materials from British-controlled sources at inflated prices. The weavers had little choice but to



accept whatever wages were offered to them, as the British-controlled markets dictated the prices of silk and other goods.

In addition to low wages, weavers worked extremely long hours, often under oppressive conditions. The introduction of factory-based production under British rule exacerbated these issues, with factory workers being subjected to even longer hours and a more regimented, less flexible work environment compared to traditional handloom weaving. The labor conditions in factories were often poor, with inadequate ventilation, long hours of repetitive work, and little concern for worker safety or health. As Cumming (1908) notes, many of the workers in Bhagalpur's factories, including weavers, were forced to work long hours with minimal rest, and any form of labor rights or protections was practically non-existent (Cumming, 1908, p. 98). These conditions led to a significant deterioration in the overall well-being of the weavers, who lived in squalid conditions that did little to alleviate the hardships they faced.

**Family and Gender Roles:** Weaving in Bhagalpur, like many other regions in pre-colonial India, was a family-based activity. Traditionally, silk weaving was a craft passed down from father to son, and families worked together to produce silk textiles. Women and children also played crucial roles in the weaving process. Women were typically involved in tasks such as spinning, dyeing, and sometimes weaving, while children often assisted in simpler tasks, such as sorting silk or preparing the raw material for weaving. The family-based structure of silk production created a social unit that was both an economic and cultural institution.

However, with the introduction of factory-based production and the mechanization of the silk industry under British colonial policies, this family-based system was disrupted. The shift from handloom weaving to mechanized looms in factories meant that many traditional weavers were pushed out of their homes and forced to work in industrial settings. This transformation significantly altered gender roles and family dynamics. As factory production required the use of large machines, traditional family roles were often rendered obsolete. Women and children, once integral to the family weaving unit, found themselves either excluded from factory work or relegated to lower-paying, unskilled labor roles within the factory system.

Moreover, the introduction of factory-based work led to a shift in power dynamics within families. In the traditional weaving system, men, women, and children all had important roles in the production process, which fostered a sense of communal labor. The industrial shift, however, removed women and children from the process of textile creation in many cases, thus reducing their roles in the family economy. This change further contributed to the erosion of family-based economies and the displacement of traditional crafts by factory labor (Dutta & Nanavaty, 2007, p. 155).

**Resistance and Agency:** Despite the oppressive conditions imposed by British colonial policies, Bhagalpur's silk weavers did not passively accept their fate. Throughout the colonial period, weavers found various ways to resist the exploitation they faced, whether through direct protest, adaptation of their traditional skills, or participation in broader social movements.

One form of resistance came in the form of protests and strikes. As exploitation increased and working conditions worsened, weavers began to organize and demand better wages and working



conditions. Some of these protests were local and short-lived, while others gained more significant attention, particularly when workers' rights were increasingly brought into the national conversation. These protests were part of a larger trend of labor unrest that characterized much of colonial India, where workers in different industries began to challenge the exploitative practices of British rule.

In addition to direct protest, weavers in Bhagalpur also sought to adapt their traditional skills to new economic conditions. Although the mechanization of the silk industry displaced many handloom weavers, some were able to retain their relevance by transitioning into different forms of textile production or by continuing their craft in smaller, more localized settings. These artisans also sought to maintain their cultural heritage and craft, preserving techniques that had been passed down for generations. In some cases, they leveraged the demand for traditional, handcrafted textiles from global markets, even as the dominant economic system moved toward industrial production.

Furthermore, the rise of labor unions and political movements in India during the late 19th and early 20th centuries also provided a platform for weavers to voice their grievances. Although labor unions were often weak and limited in their power during this period, they offered an outlet for weavers to challenge British economic control. As Sinha (2004) notes, labor movements that emerged in other parts of colonial India had ripple effects in regions like Bhagalpur, where workers in industries like silk weaving began to articulate their demands for better treatment (Sinha, 2004, p. 213).

In conclusion, while Bhagalpur's silk weavers faced harsh exploitation, long working hours, and the disruption of their traditional family-based economy, they were not passive victims of colonial policies. Through protest, adaptation, and participation in broader labor movements, the weavers of Bhagalpur asserted their agency in the face of colonial oppression, marking a significant chapter in the history of labor resistance in India.

### **The Role of Machinery and Modernization in Bhagalpur's Silk Industry**

**Introduction of Machinery:** The introduction of machinery into Bhagalpur's silk industry was a pivotal moment in the region's economic history, one that significantly altered the dynamics of local silk production. Under British colonial rule, the British sought to increase silk production to meet global demand, particularly for the burgeoning textile industry in Britain. To achieve this, the British introduced mechanized looms and other machinery, which were seen as more efficient than traditional handloom weaving.

As noted by Cumming (1908), the mechanization of silk production was part of a broader effort by the British to increase productivity and extract raw materials from India at an accelerated pace (Cumming, 1908, p. 104). The introduction of mechanized looms, which could produce textiles much faster and in greater quantities than handlooms, marked a decisive shift in the silk industry. However, this shift came at the expense of traditional handloom weavers in Bhagalpur. Once artisans who had enjoyed a degree of independence, local weavers found themselves marginalized in the face of factory-based production. The mechanization of silk production led to the widespread



displacement of traditional handloom workers, as factories required fewer skilled artisans to operate the machines, replacing them with low-skilled laborers (Hunter, 1881, p. 287).

The mechanization of the silk industry not only affected the weavers' livelihoods but also undermined the artisanal traditions that had defined Bhagalpur's silk production for centuries. As the demand for traditional handwoven textiles diminished, weavers were forced to adapt to the new industrial model, often at the expense of their craftsmanship and social status.

**Industrialization and Mechanization:** The mechanization of Bhagalpur's silk industry must be understood within the broader context of colonial industrialization in India. During British rule, India was primarily positioned as a supplier of raw materials for British industries. The introduction of machinery in Bhagalpur's silk industry was part of a larger trend of industrialization that sought to integrate India into the global capitalist system, while simultaneously limiting the development of indigenous manufacturing industries.

The British colonial approach to industrialization in India focused on extracting raw materials, such as silk and cotton, which would then be processed in British factories. Bhagalpur's silk, which had once been woven by skilled local artisans, became another raw material in this system. The mechanization of silk production in Bhagalpur was a reflection of this broader trend, as it aimed to increase the output of raw silk for export rather than fostering a local textile manufacturing economy (Chaudhuri, 1978, p. 179). By replacing handlooms with mechanized looms, the British ensured that the silk produced in Bhagalpur could be processed more efficiently and sent to Britain, where the value-added processes would take place.

This shift in production methods also had profound implications for the local economy and labor force. The mechanization of silk production contributed to the broader process of deindustrialization in India, where traditional industries were displaced by factory-based models. While this shift contributed to the expansion of British-controlled industries, it stunted the growth of a robust, indigenous manufacturing sector in India, including in regions like Bhagalpur (Dutta & Nanavaty, 2007, p. 157).

## Post-Colonial Legacy

**Continuity and Change:** Despite the significant disruptions caused by British colonial rule, some elements of Bhagalpur's traditional silk industry survived into the post-colonial era. After India gained independence in 1947, Bhagalpur's silk industry faced new challenges, including competition from synthetic textiles, changing market demands, and the continued dominance of mechanized production. However, the artisanal craft of handloom weaving continued to be an important part of Bhagalpur's cultural and economic identity.

Many weavers in Bhagalpur sought to preserve traditional weaving techniques, adapting them to new economic realities while maintaining the quality and artistry that had once made the region's silk renowned across India and beyond. As noted by Dutta and Nanavaty (2007), some weavers in post-colonial Bhagalpur turned to niche markets that valued handcrafted silk textiles, particularly for export to markets that appreciated the authenticity and cultural significance of traditional





craftsmanship (Dutta & Nanavaty, 2007, p. 162). This adaptation allowed Bhagalpur's silk industry to maintain a foothold in the global market, even as the mechanized sector grew.

However, the broader economic changes brought about by post-colonial industrialization meant that Bhagalpur's silk industry, while resilient, struggled to regain its pre-colonial prominence. The legacy of colonial exploitation, including the dependence on low wages and the lack of worker protections, persisted well into the post-independence period, shaping the future of the region's silk industry.

**Bhagalpur in Contemporary Context:** In contemporary India, Bhagalpur's silk industry faces both challenges and opportunities. The rise of synthetic textiles and global competition has made it difficult for the traditional silk industry to thrive in the same way it once did. However, there has been a resurgence of interest in sustainable and eco-friendly textiles, which has created new opportunities for Bhagalpur's weavers to revive their craft in the context of a growing demand for ethically produced, artisanal goods.

Furthermore, government initiatives aimed at supporting traditional crafts and handloom industries, such as the promotion of "Make in India" and handloom development programs, have provided some support to Bhagalpur's weavers. These initiatives, combined with the growing global market for handmade, sustainable products, offer a pathway for Bhagalpur's silk industry to evolve and adapt to new market conditions. However, challenges remain, including the need for modernization of infrastructure, better access to markets, and improved living and working conditions for the weavers (Sinha, 2004, p. 217).

### **Summary of Findings:**

This article has explored the impact of British colonial policies on the lives of Bhagalpur's silk weavers, highlighting how the introduction of machinery, the prioritization of raw silk exports, and the shift to factory-based production led to the marginalization of traditional weavers and the disruption of the local economy. British colonial industrialization strategies focused on extracting raw materials from India rather than fostering a local manufacturing economy, which stunted the development of indigenous industries like silk weaving. Weavers faced poor labor conditions, economic exploitation, and the erosion of their traditional craft as mechanized looms replaced handlooms.

**Broader Implications:** The story of Bhagalpur's silk industry offers broader insights into colonial economic systems, the exploitation of labor, and the long-term effects of industrialization and deindustrialization on traditional industries in India. The British colonial approach to industrialization, which prioritized raw material extraction over local manufacturing, has had lasting consequences for India's economic development. Bhagalpur's weavers, who once played a central role in the local economy, experienced the profound impacts of these policies, but their resilience in preserving traditional skills in the face of industrialization speaks to the enduring value of cultural heritage and artisanal craftsmanship.



## References:

### Primary Sources:

1. **Buchanan-Hamilton, Francis.** *An Account of the District of Bhagalpur in Bengal.* London: W. Bulmer and Co., 1809.
2. **Hamilton, Francis Buchanan.** *A Comparative Vocabulary of Some of the Languages Spoken in the Bengal Presidency.* London: W. Bulmer and Co., 1815.
3. **East India Company Records.** *Proceedings Relating to the Silk Industry in Bengal.* London: East India Company, 1770–1833.
4. **Cumming, J.G.** *Review of the Industrial Position and Prospects in Bengal.* Calcutta: Bengal Secretariat Press, 1908.
5. **Hunter, W.W.** *The Imperial Gazetteer of India* (Vol. 1). Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1881.
6. **East India Company.** *Report on the Condition of the Silk Industry in India.* London, 1830.
7. **Bengal Secretariat Press.** *Reports on the Silk Industry of Bengal and its Prospects.* Calcutta: Bengal Secretariat Press, 1831.
8. **Cumming, J.G.** *The Silk Trade of Bengal and the Role of Bhagalpur.* Calcutta: Bengal Secretariat Press, 1905.
9. **Hamilton, Francis Buchanan.** *An Account of the District of Bhagalpur in Bengal.* London: W. Bulmer and Co., 1809.
10. **India Office Records.** *Reports on Labor Conditions in Indian Silk Industry.* London: India Office, 1845.

### Secondary Sources:

11. **Chaudhuri, K.N.** *The Trading World of Asia and the English East India Company, 1660–1760.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1978.
12. **Davini, Roberto.** "Bengali Raw Silk, the East India Company and the European Global Market, 1770–1833." *Journal of Global History*, 4(1), 57–79, 2009.
13. **Dutta, S.K., and Nanavaty, S.** *Silk in India: History and Production.* Ahmedabad: Mapin Publishing, 2007.
14. **Chaudhuri, K.N.** *The Economic History of India 1857–1947.* London: Routledge, 2001.
15. **Ray, S.** *Economic History of India under British Rule.* New Delhi: Orient Longman, 1993.
16. **Sinha, S.** *The Laborers and Weavers of Bengal: Colonial Exploitation and its Legacy.* Calcutta: Oxford University Press, 2004.
17. **Hossain, A.** "The Impact of British Colonial Policies on Indian Handloom Weavers." *Economic and Political Weekly*, 32(14), 1361–1374, 1997.
18. **Alavi, H.** *The Industrial Revolution in India: A Colonial Perspective.* New Delhi: Pearson, 2003.
19. **Ghosh, P.** *Silk, Trade, and Culture in Colonial Bengal.* Kolkata: K.P. Bagchi & Co., 1996.
20. **Miller, M.** *The Colonial Economy and Indian Weavers: The Case of Bengal.* New Delhi: Sage Publications, 1999.



21. **Bose, S.** *India under British Rule*. New Delhi: Cambridge University Press, 2001.
22. **Basu, S.** "Deindustrialization and the Decline of Indian Handloom Industry in the 19th Century." *Indian Economic History Review*, 13(3), 305-323, 1994.
23. **McLane, J.** *The Economic History of Bengal: Industry, Commerce, and Finance*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1982.
24. **Das, S.** *Silk Weavers of Bengal and the Colonial Economy*. New Delhi: Vikas Publishing, 1987.
25. **Haq, K.** *Labor Conditions in Colonial India: A Case Study of Bhagalpur's Silk Industry*. New Delhi: Orient Blackswan, 2002.