



ROLE OF KIN-ANTHROPOMETRY IN SPORTS PERFORMANCE

CANDIDATE NAME- GOPI KRISHNA SIMHADRI

DESIGNATION-RESEARCH SCHOLAR SUNRISE UNIVERSITY ALWAR

GUIDE NAME- Dr. Srinivas Nallella,

DESIGNATION- Assistant Professor SUNRISE UNIVERSITY ALWAR

ABSTRACT

The primary motivation for doing this research is the need to discover and nurture young talent. Motor ability and kinanthropometric variables provide objective and quantitative markers of a player's physical qualities and capacities, complementing the function of natural talent and skills. Young athletes with the physical prowess and ability to flourish in football and handball may be easily identified by coaches and talent scouts when these criteria are included into talent identification programs. It is crucial for player development to get an understanding of the connection between motor skill, kinanthropometric factors, and performance. Improved skill development and overall performance may result from training regimens that are specifically designed for each athlete based on their particular physical characteristics. When players' strengths and limitations are identified using these metrics, coaches are better equipped to construct training programs that meet their individual requirements.

KEYWORDS: Kin-Anthropometry, Sports Performance, Motor ability, motor skill, Young athletes, physical characteristics

INTRODUCTION

Multiple hypotheses in science and other fields have been developed since the turn of the 21st century. There were several breakthroughs in the scientific community in the modern era. Every day, new ideas emerge, some of which question established ones while others build upon and improve upon them. Research is the methodical pursuit of knowledge through which new information is uncovered and previously known facts are confirmed together with their causal relationships and underlying natural principles. According to (Sharma, 1990), researchers seek both to improve upon already established knowledge and to uncover novel aspects of their chosen topic. Thus, study pushes us beyond the bounds of our existing understanding. The growth of sports is largely attributable to research. The

difference between winning and losing has shrunk as athletes have become more competitive and strive for more consistent performances. To get the most out of their athletes, trainers and scientists analyze every possible variable. New tools and techniques have been created in the industrialized nations thanks to scientific inquiry. They've established a genuine system and atmosphere for sports in order to accomplish goals that were previously thought to be too challenging or unattainable for the human body, and they're currently working hard to make things even better. Researchers have compiled this information in order to maximize the potential of athletes across all sports by taking into account their physical, emotional, and psychological characteristics. Physical, physiological, technical, tactical, psychological, and



environmental aspects all have a role in an athlete's overall success. Depending on the competition, one may take precedence over the other. Despite receiving the same instruction, athletes' levels of performance frequently differ from one another due to the aforementioned differences. Because various sports and physical activities ask for varying degrees of body size, shape, and proportion, this mostly relies on the individual athlete.

Strength, stamina, speed, agility, balance, and flexibility, all components of physical fitness, are necessary for optimal health and physiological performance. Physically healthy pupils report higher levels of happiness, coordination, judgment, and self-control in their daily lives. Students who are physically active have a greater capacity to delay the onset of weariness and a greater resilience to physical stress. Proper exercise, diet, relaxation, and cleanliness are the main pillars of a healthy fitness regimen. According to (Sanjay, 2012) Enhancing academic performance is only one of the many benefits of maintaining a healthy body and mind via regular exercise. The research confirms that these advantages are worthwhile. A study conducted in Vanes and France found that reducing time spent in the classroom and increasing time spent on physical education had better overall results. Results-wise, discipline-wise, enthusiasm-wise, physically, and emotionally, pupils who engaged fared better than their non-participating peers. Similar findings have been seen in other investigations.

Language education helps pupils speak clearly to their fellow humans, math education helps them precisely calculate their weekly shopping costs, and art

education helps them enjoy and appreciate the works of Chagall and Beethoven. They need to learn about their bodies so that they may use them to their full potential as people and in their daily lives. To achieve this goal, they will need to be physically active, have a positive outlook on health, learn how to make the exercise fun, and be well-versed in the relevant scientific information. Health, as the philosopher Will Durant recommends, is largely under each person's control; this leads to lives that are productive, energetic, and satisfying. Illness is often considered a punishable offense. This philosopher claims that humans "have done something physiologically foolish, and nature is being hard put to repair our mistakes." As James (2005) puts it, "the suffering we go through is the cost of attendance at the University of Life."

KINANTHROPOMETRY

The term "Kin-anthropometry" is becoming more commonplace. Anthropometric measurements with an emphasis on kinematic and kinetic relationships constitute the field of study known as kin-anthropometry. The Greek words "Kineein" (to move), "Anthropos" (man), and "Metreein" (to measure) form the acronym "kinanthropometry." According to (Ross, 1978), kinanthropometry is "the study of human movement in relation to growth, exercise, performance, and nutrition by means of the measurement of body size, shape, proportion, composition, maturation, and gross functions." According to the International Association for the Study of Kinanthropometry (IASK), kin-anthropometry is "the scientific sub-discipline concerned with the measurement of humans from a variety of morphological



perspectives, its application to movement, and those factors which influence movement, such as components of body build, body measurements, proportions, composition, shape, maturation, motor abilities and cardio-respiratory capacities, physical activity, including recreational activity." It is well-established that the kin-anthropometry of a person's physical attributes plays a crucial role in his or her growth toward a level of performance at the national level in a certain sport. Kin-anthropometry is the study of how athletes are picked for a certain sport based on their unique physical traits, such as weight, height, diameters, circumferences, and skin folds. A quantitative link between anatomy and physiology is made possible by kinanthropometry. Because of this, kin-anthropometric information is gaining a lot of traction as a means of identifying future sporting abilities. The primary value of kin-anthropometric research is in its ability to identify the features of athletes that must be optimal for their performance. Certainly, in today's sports world, it's crucial to have accurate data on players' kin-anthropometric traits. Since most kin-anthropometric features are virtually entirely genetically determined, training won't be able to alter length and breadth measurements (Norton & Olds, 2001). Therefore, players in a given sport should have the qualities that give them an edge in that sport (Sodhi, 1986). Sports trainers need to know an athlete's kin-anthropometric status not only because of its correlation with physical performance, but also so that they can place novice players in the sports to which they are most suited. Somatic traits of successful athletes in a certain sport have been found to vary from those of the general

population, according to studies of human morphology conducted to date. When it comes to appearance, no two people are the same. The range of human variation is so broad that no two people are identical. It is common knowledge that because to the vast differences in human anatomy, certain sporting activities are better suited to some body types than others. In order to improve national-level performance in a variety of sports, it is thought that certain physical attributes, such as body composition, size, type, and structure, are crucial. Sports scientists, human biologists, anthropologists, coaches, and educators in the field of physical education and sports can all benefit from using kin-anthropometry to learn more about athletes across a wide range of disciplines.

It's fascinating to see how widely people's bodies may range in appearance. Different types of human bodies help athletes perform better in certain fields. There's a certain physique that's ideal for each sport, and having one that isn't well-suited to the activity might be a major roadblock to success. Hippocrates, a great Greek philosopher from the fifth century B.C., was the first expert to introduce a system for classifying body types, dividing people into those with thin, lean bodies and long extremities (the "habitus phthisicus") and those with short, thick, and massive frames (the "habitus apoplecticus"). In the early 1200s, a German psychiatrist named Kretschmer—one of the oldest pioneers in the field—divided people into three types based on their body type: asthenic (thin), athletic (muscular), and pyknic (fat). Similarly, an Italian man named Viola in the 12th century devised a system for categorizing people into four types: Longitype, with long limbs; Brachitype,



with wide limbs; Normotype, with normal traits; and Mixedtype, with mixed qualities. In 1940, Sheldon and his colleagues developed a technique they termed somatotyping to assess and quantify individual differences in body composition. Somatotyping using objective anthropometric measures was developed by Heath and Carter in 1967. "A Somotype is a description of the present morphological conformation," Heath and Carter (1970) write. There are three numbers that are always reported in the same order to indicate this rating. Each number indicates an assessment of one of the three main aspects of physique that characterize unique human morphological and compositional features." A person's physique is the sum total of their size, shape, and type. According to Sodhi and Sidhu (1984), all three are interconnected expressions of the internal structure and tissue components influenced by the environment and genes. Somatotyping is an indirect method of analyzing physical traits that has shown to be beneficial. It's well-established that different sports and events have different needs for the appropriate somatotype for athletes. An individual's somatotype may be summed up from their ratings of all the factors. As stated by Carter and Heath (1990) and Duquet and Carter (1996), somatotyping defines the prevailing factor. Various body shapes and weights are optimal for peak performance in various sports.

The kinanthropometric traits of elite national-level athletes may be synthesized and described using somatotype analysis. Because it incorporates measures of adiposity, musculoskeletal strength, and linearity, the somatotyping approach is thought to be more accurate than

traditional linear anthropometric assessments (Rienzi et al., 1999). Somatotyping, a method for evaluating body form and composition that is independent of size, has been used to characterize teams of elite athletes. The first researcher to apply the method to Olympians was Cureton (1951), who looked at swimmers and track & field competitors in the 1948 London Games. Tanner (1964) examined athletes' somatotypes during the Rome Olympics; de Garay et al. (1974) performed the biggest research to date on participants at the Mexico City Olympics of 1968; and Carter et al. (1982) examined athletes at the Montreal Olympics of 1976. The constitutional make up of body composition components is equally significant, alongside concerns of body size. Considering the key sections of the body, i.e. fat mass, muscle mass, and bone mass, might help with the conceptualization of the division of the body weight into several components. The appropriate weight for competition and other aspects of body composition may be determined by measuring an athlete's body composition, which is an essential tool for assessing the athlete's health and the effectiveness of a training program (Prior et al., 2001). Athletes may better manage their weight and make beneficial changes to their body composition if they have a firm grasp of the relationship between training and competition. Optimal levels of body composition for health, recuperation, training, and competition may be determined by studying seasonal fluctuations in body composition (Albuquerque et al., 2005). Coaches and athletes may better prepare their players for individual events or positions if they



keep up with the latest trends in body composition in their sport. An easy-to-use, risk-free, and accurate technique of evaluating body composition is required because of the significance of body composition in sports health and performance. The amount of body fat is of particular importance to athletes since it is inversely related to performance in several sports. Athletes have a distinct physical make-up. Changes in the composition of fat-free mass cause variations in fat-free mass density. Female top athletes tend to have a less "curvy" physique, lower percentage body fat, and delayed maturity than their contemporaries, whereas young male elite athletes had better lean body mass, strength, and power than their peers. Body composition is an important area of research because of the evident physiological and health repercussions that vary across athletes.

KIN-ANTHROPOMETRY IN SPORTS PERFORMANCE

While training, skill, personality, and motivation are all important in sports performance, the teachers, trainers, and coaches in the field of physical education agree that body composition and kinanthropometric characteristics are the most predictive of an athlete's level of efficiency and success. Age, height, weight, and body composition all have a significant impact on an athlete's potential. Individuals of the same height may have very different weights; those of the same height can have very different weights; and people of the same weight can have very different relative proportions of muscle, fat, and bone (Johnson and Nelson, 1982). The success of athletes is heavily dependent on their body composition, kinanthropometric dimensions, and

morphological traits (Reco-Sanz, 1998). Today's top athletes face ever-increasing pressure to perform at a high level, and they can only hope to achieve success if they have access to the kinds of resources that are only available on a national scale (Gualdi Russo, 1993; Rienzi, 2000). According to Kopecky & Pridalova (2001), somatic, functional, physiological, and motor qualities and capacities interact in a complex manner to affect sports performance. As a result, the body becomes a performance bottleneck due to its direct correlation with the intensity of the involved physical activity. This information is crucial when looking for ideal kinds in certain sports or competitions. There are obvious variations in body type, proportion, height, and weight among athletes, but new research suggests that these variables also vary significantly by position on the field. Athletics is a broad sport that includes several sub-genres in which physical characteristics play a significant influence in performance. The fact that victors of the Boston Marathon, the oldest annual marathon in the world, tend to have similar body masses over the course of many decades suggests that this factor remains significant.

Sports success may be attributed to a wide variety of reasons. Body size, shape, and morphology are the most essential success criteria in sports, even more so than skill, psychological traits, and robust and capacious energy production systems. The research showed that the average sprinter is strong, the average marathoner is tiny and lean, and the average thrower is tall and relatively fat. Success in several sports has been linked to morphological optimization, an essential idea. A



correlation between form and function is widely accepted as a rule of thumb. However, there was still a need to set morphologically specific standards for a few sports. A person's somatotype has been called one of the finest single biological identifying marks by Ross et al. (1982), and Carter (1985) has repeatedly emphasized the idea that human performance is constrained by an individual's anatomy. Athletes' physiques are crucial factors in their play, and the stronger the performance, the more vital the physique is. In addition, research conducted for the Olympics found that an improper body type is a common barrier to athletic achievement. The physical strengths and weaknesses of a sportsperson may be determined by analyzing his kin-anthropometric features, somatotyping, and body composition. Athletes may have their body fat percentage estimated with the use of skin folds and other body composition procedures. Having a low body fat percentage is associated with better national-level athletic achievement. Most sports need some degree of body fat for optimal performance, but excessive fat might limit an athlete's potential. When participating in activities that call for the body to project, such as leaping actions against gravity, extra fat and weight are a hindrance. In contrast, a modest amount of fat is seen as a benefit to performance in long-distance swimming and water polo because it provides more buoyancy. This is why top swimmers always maintain a healthy body fat percentage.

Performance is most strongly correlated with kin-anthropometric traits in weightlifters and throwers due to the substantial correlation between regional muscle mass and strength. Different sports

place greater value on a person's relative size than on their absolute size. When comparing the sexes across sports, there are also notable differences in physical proportions. In comparison to male athletes in the same activities, female athletes have a different distribution of limb, torso, and skin folds, as well as reduced musculoskeletal size in the upper body compared to the lower body. Young sportsmen tend to mirror the body types of their older counterparts in terms of size, proportions, and skin folds. Many academics have studied distinct body attributes in a variety of sports and found a substantial correlation between structure and performance. For instance, high-altitude jumpers tend to have longer limbs and wider feet than the average person, since this allows them to maintain a lower center of gravity when airborne. Jumpers benefit from increasing their muscle mass and keeping their body fat percentage at a steady state because of the importance of optimizing their power-to-weight ratio. Throwers tend to be heavier because the forward and upward motion of a thrown item exerts a force in the opposite direction on the thrower, throwing him off balance. Therefore, if the athlete has a low body mass index, this response will have a greater impact. Having more vertical clearance helps athletes' throws stay aloft for longer, which improves their performance. Both basketball and volleyball players benefit from being taller. Being tall is an asset for these athletes and contributes to their high level of performance. However, gymnasts benefit more from being shorter, hence China, Korea, and Japan have a disproportionate number of Olympic gymnasts. Their tiny stature has given



them an advantage in sports like gymnastics, weightlifting, and boxing's lightweight division. Europeans are taller than Americans; hence European countries have excelled in the long jump, shot put, volleyball, and basketball. Muscular athletes have an advantage in the heavyweight division of boxing and other throwing competitions.

A player's physical characteristics may have an impact on his or her ability to execute certain skills and strategies. The segment length of an athlete is fixed regardless of training, physical activity, environment, or diet. When executed well, adjusting one's strategy and tactics in response to the size and shape of one's segments may provide one an edge. Coaches and athletes may sometimes use it as a strategic tool. Body composition and the development of physical performance qualities including speed, strength, evidences, agility, and coordination are inextricably linked. There has been remarkable progress in these physical qualities, and it now seems that they are the deciding factor in athletic success at any level of competition. There is intense rivalry not just between athletes, but also between sports scientists, coaches, and academics studying the field of sports. They want to improve fundamentals so they may develop novel sports theories. Facts and philosophies form the basis of principles. Repeated testing with diverse settings allows for the discovery of fresh facts that contribute to the development of new concepts. There is a lack of recent data on kin-anthropometric characteristics, somatotyping, and body composition in national and state level jumpers and throwers, which is why numerous researchers have studied the correlation

between morphological traits and athletic performance in elite athletes. The goal of this research is to compare the kin-anthropometric profiles, somatotypes, and body compositions of collegiate athletes competing at the national and state levels.

CONCLUSION

Maintaining a healthy level of physical fitness is crucial at any age. Health fitness focuses on the acquisition and upkeep of these characteristics in order to improve one's health and performance via the avoidance and treatment of sickness and disability. Regular, adequate physical exercise has been shown to improve health and quality of life, and this body of evidence continues to grow. Different kinds of studies have pushed the realm of games and sports forward by several steps. Sportspeople in the present day are trained with highly specialized gear to maximize their potential in their respective fields.

REFERENCES

1. Abraham, G. (2010). Analysis of anthropometry, body composition and performance variables of young Indian athletes in southern region. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, 3(12), 1210-1213.
2. Alburquerque, F., Sanchez, F., Prieto, J.M., Lopez, N. and Santos, M. (2005) Kinanthropometric assesment of a football team over one season. *Eur. J. Anat*, 9 (1) : 17-22.
3. Bharat M. Patel, Mukesh G. Patel (2011), "A comparative study of physical fitness of central, navodaya and adarsh residential schools" students of Gujarat state", *International Journal of Health, Physical Education and Computer Science in Sports*, Vol.1, No.1, pp 53-55.
4. Brechue, WF., Takashi, A. (2002) The role of FFM accumulation and skeletal



muscle architecture in powerlifting performance. *European Journal of Applied Physiology* 86:327-336.

5. Carter, J.E.L. (1970) The somatotype of athlete – A Review *Human Biology*, 42:535 - 569.

6. Carter, J.E.L., Ross W.D., Aubry, S.P., Hebbelinck, M. & Broms, J. (1982): *Kinanthropometry Part I*; Pp: 25-52 (University Park Press, Baltimore).

7. Chittibabu, B. (2014). “Estimation of relationship between maximal oxygen consumption and body composition of male handball players.” *International Journal of Opinion in Physical Sciences*, 3(10): 21-23.

8. Cormery, B., Marcil, M., & Bouvard, M. (2008). Rule change incidence on physiological characteristics of elite basketball players: a 10-year-period investigation. *British journal of sports medicine*, 42(1), 25-30.

9. De Mello Vitor, F., & Böhme, M. T. S. (2010). Performance of young male swimmers in the 100-meters front crawl. *Pediatric exercise science*, 22(2), 278-287.

10. Dejanovic, A., Harvey, E. P., & McGill, S. M. (2012). Changes in torso muscle endurance profiles in children aged 7 to 14 years: Reference values. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*, 93(12), 2295-2301.