International Journal For Advanced Research In Science & Technology
A peer reviewed international journal
www.ijarst.in
ISSN: 2457-0362

A STUDY OF PARASITIC INFECTIOUS TOWARDS WILDLIFE INFECTION DETECTION

MIRIYALA SAMBAMURTHY
Research Scholar Monad University, Delhi Hapur Road Village & Post Kastla, Kasmabad, Pilkhuwa, Uttar Pradesh
Dr. Kapil Kumar
Research Supervisor Monad University, Delhi Hapur Road Village & Post Kastla, Kasmabad, Pilkhuwa, Uttar Pradesh

ABSTRACT
Parasites are usually considered to use their hosts as a resource for energy. However, there is increasing awareness that parasites can also become a resource themselves and serve as prey for other organisms. Here we describe various types of predation in which parasites act as prey for other organisms: (1) predation of non-hosts on infected hosts (concomitant predation), (2) predation on free-living parasite life cycle stages, (3) predation on ectoparasites in form of grooming or cleaning and (4) predation or hyper parasitism by other parasites. In many cases, these types of predation significantly reduce the numbers of parasites and thus affect parasite population dynamics. In contrast, predation on parasites is often beneficial for the hosts as they are released from parasite burden. Finally, when parasites act as prey they may contribute to the non-host predator does diet, in some cases constitute a significant proportion of energy intake. However, not all predators of infected organisms are suitable down-stream hosts and parasites may thus be 'accidentally' and indirectly consumed by predators and, therefore, not result in successful transmission.

KEYWORDS: Parasites, Wildlife Infections, life cycle stages

INTRODUCTION

Animals' legal position wasn't established overnight, but it required years of political, social, and scientific backing to gain worldwide recognition. Wild life conservation has been scientifically proven and has become an important topic in biology, but it has garnered legal and political backing from a succession of international conferences as well as social movements. The environment and its numerous components now have a place in every educational discipline. From literature to political science and sociology to biology, the environment and wild life have taken a prominent role in many academic disciplines.

Enviromentalists are those who advocate for the protection and preservation of the natural world and its inhabitants. Ecological issues have been elevated in many social movements as well as conflicts, which tell us that society has to be balanced between man and nature in order to thrive. As both politics and sociology have acknowledged the preservation of nature and the protection of wild animals, several governments developed legal instruments in their legislatures inside the country. Civilized culture has come to recognize the value of wild life, and environmental legislation has established this value. Conservation and preservation of living organisms, such as migratory bird species and other species of wild animals, have been the subject of several international organizations throughout the years. In contrast, international accords and conventions have taken the most significant steps in the protection of natural resources and the improvement of the status of the environment, which includes wildlife. During the Stockholm Conference in 1972, the first legal framework to manage pollution and ecological degradation was introduced to the public.

It was the Magna Carta of environmental legislation. States must work together to maintain, preserve, and restore the health and integrity of our planet's ecosystems, according to the Rio Declaration from the 1992 Earth Summit. The notion of sustainable resource use was also acknowledged during the Earth Summit.. After then, a legal standing for wildlife was established across the world as a result of various international conferences and conventions and accords specifically connected to wildlife. India, a country with abundant natural resources and a wide variety of wildlife, has long recognized the value of wild animals. There are many references throughout Hindu mythology and law writings to the need of protecting and caring for the natural world, particularly wild animals. Environment conservation is explicitly stated in the religious writings of major Indian faiths such as Islam, Buddhism, and Judaism. Princely kingdoms in India made measures to create protected areas and preserve forests in the latter stages of their reign, although these were mostly for hunting purposes.

A few legislative efforts were done during the British time to conserve birds, rhinoceros, elephants and national parks, compared to some international status. As a result of this new surge of animal and environmental activism, the status of wild life was elevated after the country's independence. Over the years, India has participated in a number of international gatherings, made various declarations and joined numerous organizations across the world. They all played a significant part in protecting wild animals from foreign organizations as well as establishing a stable status for wild life that affected Indian lawmaker and policy makers very greatly in the process of making their decisions. India has always acknowledged the status of wild animals, either by religious scripture or constitutional requirement, from the beginning of time.

More and more scientists are realizing that parasitism, frequently via interactions with non-host species, may play as important a role in organizing biological systems as predation. Changes in population densities have traditionally been seen as the key mechanism behind indirect interactions when the influence of one species on another impacts populations of a third species. Changes in traits may also have an impact on indirect relationships, making them just as critical to the structure and operation of communities.

PREDATORS AND PREY CPNAUNITIES

Rodgers and Panwar (1988) devised a categorization scheme for India that defines ten distinct biogeographic zones, each of which is further split into biotic provinces. A unique community of ungulates and primates, as well as carnivores that hunt them, may be found in each of the eight terrestrial biogeographic zones. The recent human-induced extinctions have wiped off several species from a number of assemblages, which should be taken into consideration. The eight zones' typical predator-prey communities are summarised below:

i. The Himalayan Zone

The snow leopard, wolf, and dhole are the main predators in this alpine zone's mountainous and cold desert fauna. tibetan wild ass (Eaus hemlonus kiang.), and wild yak are among the prey species (Bos grunniens) white-tailed deer (gantholops hodhsoni). Hemahra (CaRXajbfiX) and himalayan tahr, all of which are found in the Himalayan region, including the Tibetan gazelle (Procarpa Ristieaudata oicticaudata), Blue Sheep (Pseudois aayur), Urial (Ovis orientalist) and Shapu (Oils. aamon hodgsoni) (Hemitragus .iemlahicus).

ii. Based on the position of the zone.

Himalayas Red deer (Cervus slaP-hus) and sambar are the primary prey in the Himalayan zone's sub-alpine scrub and temperate and sub-tropical forests (Cervus unicolor). musk deer known as a muntjac (Moschus moschiferus). Himalayan tahr, blue sheep, and goral (Nemorhaedus goral). A capricornis sumatrensis, a mishimi takin, and a wild pig (Sua scrofa). Assamese macaque (Macaca mulatta) and the hanuman langur (Presbytxs. entellus) are also included in this group of primates (Macaca assamensis). Their primary predators are the dhole, snow leopard, leopard, and tiger.

iii. In the Desert Zone

Asses (JL hemionus khur) live in this flat, dry region. predators such as wolf and (now-extinct) cheetah hunted prey such as blackbuck and chinkara, while the nilgai and flaze. Ha gazella benettj preyed on blackbuck and nilgai, respectively. In the case of the Arid zone, there have been no conservation efforts to preserve entire assemblages of big animal species.

iv. Fourth Sensitive Zone Blackbuck, chinkara, and four-horned antelope may be found in this area (Tetracerus auadricornis). as well as sambar, nilgai, and chital, which are all ungulates, as well as wild pig as ungulate prey. At well-watered locations, these latter three species may be found. Rhesus macaque and hanuman langur are two of the most common primate prey species. A cheetah (now extinct) was once among the most dangerous predators in several parts of the world.

v. Zone of Western Ghats

Sambar, chital, muntjac, four-horned antelope, gaur, nilgiri tahr, and wild pig are the primary ungulate prey in this montane and foothills zone with evergreen and deciduous woods. Hanuman langur (Presbvtis iolmi), nilgiri langur (Presbvtis iolmi), bonnet macaque (ttasacg radiata) and lion-tailed macaque are the primates (Macaca silenus). They are prey to tigers, leopards, and dholes.

vi. fifth and last zone of the Deccan Peninsula

Chital, sambar, and hard-ground barasingha may be found in this vast area of deciduous woods and open scrub (Cervus duyaceli branderi). Chief ungulate prey include muntjac, fourhorned antelope (Bubalus bubalis), chinkara, and wild buffalo (Bubalus bubalis). Gaur, buffalo, and muntjac are not found in open, arid places, while blackbuck can only be found in these types of environments. Only a few barasingha and buffalo populations remain today, both of which are isolated to a single location. Rhesus macaques, bonnet macaques, and hanuman langurs are some of the most common species of primate prey. The tigers, leopards, and dholes are found in the forests, whereas the wolves and cheetahs are found in the open, drier areas (now extinct).

vii. Zone of the Gangetic Plain

Up until recently, the Terai's Siwalik foothills woodlands and associated wet grasslands hosted Asia's richest collection of ungulates. A wide variety of ungulate prey species are available to the Indian rhinoceros, including goral, muntjac and muntjac muntjac, sambar, barasingha, nilgai, blackbuck, and the Indian rhinoceros (Rhinoceros unicornis). Primate prey species include the Hanuman langur and the Rhesus macaque. The tiger, leopard, and dhole are among the group's predators.

viii. India's Northeast

Wet alluvial meadows and wooded hill tracts are found in this region. Muntjac, chital, hog deer, sambar, barasingha (a kind of brow-antlered deer known as Germs aM), gaur, wild buffalo, and the Indian, Javan, and Sumatran rhinoceros (Rhinoceros sondaicus, all of which are extinct) are among the ungulate prey species (Didermocerus sumatrensis. now extinct). hanuman langur, capped langur (Presbytia pileatus), phayres langur (Presbvtis phayre), golden langur (Presbytia gjai), rhesus macaque, assamese macaque, and stumptailed macaque are among the primate species (Macaca speciosa). Maoaca nemestrina, the pig tailed macaque, and the whitebrowed gibbon (Hvlobates hoolock). Tiger, leopard, and dhole are some of the most dangerous predators in this area.

PARASITE

When a parasite lives on or within a host organism, it obtains its sustenance from the host. For example, protozoa, helminths, and other external parasites may all cause sickness in humans.

1. Protozoa

Microscopically small, one-celled creatures known as protozoa may be free-living or parasitic, depending on their environment. To ensure their existence, they may reproduce in people, but it also means that a single organism can lead to devastating diseases. A fecal-oral pathway is the most common method for transmitting protozoa from one individual to another (for example, contaminated food or water or person-to-person contact). Arthropod vectors transfer human-transmitted protozoa that dwell in human blood or tissue (for example, through the bite of a mosquito or sand fly). Single-celled creatures known as protozoa have the ability to thrive and grow inside the human body. Giardiasis is one of the protozoa-caused illnesses. If you consume water

International Journal For Advanced Research In Science & Technology
A peer reviewed international journal www.ijarst.in
IJARST
ISSN: 2457-0362

contaminated with Giardia protozoa, you run the risk of getting this deadly illness.

According to the way of movement of the protozoa that are harmful to humans, they may be divided into four groups:

- Sarcodina – the ameba, e.g., Entamoeba
- Mastigophora – the flagellates, e.g., Giardia, Leishmania
- Ciliophora – the ciliates, e.g., Balantidium
- Sporozoa – organisms whose adult stage is not motile e.g., Plasmodium, Cryptosporidium

2. Helminths

They are enormous, multicellular creatures that seem to be visible to the naked eye when they are adults. Helminths, like protozoa, may be free-living or parasitic. Adult helminths are unable to reproduce in humans. Human parasite helminths (from the Greek word for worms) may be divided into three primary categories:

Platyhelminths, such as trematodes (flukes) and cestodes, are roundworms that live on the surface of the body (tapeworms).Adult thorny-headed worms (acanthocephalins) are seen in the gastrointestinal system of infected individuals. Acanthocephala are hypothesised to fall somewhere in between cestodes and nematodes in terms of their evolutionary history.

4. Ectoparasites

The term "ectoparasites" can refer to a wide range of parasitic arthropods, including mosquitoes, that feed on the blood of humans, but the term is more commonly used to describe parasitic organisms like ticks, fleas, lice and mites that attach to or burrow into the skin and remain there for an extended period of time (e.g., weeks to months). Far though arthropods themselves may cause a wide range of diseases, they are even more essential as the primary carriers of a wide range of infections, which themselves can cause a wide range of illnesses and deaths. Your skin is home to a variety of multicellular creatures known as ectoparasites. Mosquitoes, fleas, ticks, and other arachnids are examples of this group.

PARASITIC INFECTIONS

Tropical, subtropical, and temperate climes all bear the heavy weight of sickness brought on by parasitic infections. Malaria is the most lethal parasite illness in the world. More than 400,000 people are killed by malaria each year in sub-Saharan Africa, most of them children under the age of five.

Lymphatic filariasis, onchocerciasis, and Guinea worm disease are among the neglected tropical diseases (NTDs) that have been overlooked by the public health community. It is estimated that NTDs impact more than one billion people globally, mostly in rural regions of low-income nations. As a result of these disorders, many people are unable to go to school or work, their growth is stunted in children, their cognitive abilities and development are impaired and the economic burden on whole nations is enormous.

People in industrialised nations like the United States, however, are not immune to parasite illnesses.The term "parasite" refers to a creature that relies on its host to survive. There are parasites that have no effect on their hosts at all. Other parasites multiply, spread, or enter the organ systems of their hosts, causing disease and resulting in the development of an infection.

A serious threat to the health and well-being of wild animals are parasitic infections. To preserve rapidly diminishing games and grow zoological gardens in our nation, we need better knowledge on parasites and illnesses that affect zoo animals. Despite the fact that the study of wild animal health and husbandry is relatively new, there is a dearth of published material on the issue. The proliferation of subcl of different biology is a serious concern in addition to major diseases and health risks impacting wild animals (Joshi, 1991). Veterinarians have a unique set of obstacles in caring for these animals. Even if the severity and epidemiological reasons vary, many wild animal species are zoologically linked to domestic animals and suffer from many of the same illnesses. Many types of feral animals inhabit tropical countries like India, yet surprisingly little systematic study has been done on the illnesses and parasite infections that plague these animals. Many people believe that parasites of wild animals are so well adapted to their hosts in nature that they don't cause illness in the wild. This is a frequent misconception.

Studying the ecology of naturally occurring diseases in wildlife, which constitute an enzootic focus and may be transferred to domestic animals and people, is critical. The health and strategic deworming programme of wild animals in captivity must be given the highest attention. The zoo animals become susceptible to different protozoan and helminthic infections if one of them is ignored. Most often seen in the parasitic stage of the food chain are strongyloids and ascarid nematodes. Due to their indirect life cycles, the digenetic trematodes and cestodes are less often seen since they rely on intermediate hosts. Visitors to animal sanctuaries and parks might be exposed to zoonotic parasite illnesses that are common in primates. When a parasite has a predator-prey life cycle, its survival typically hinges on the predator eating its parasitized victim. The next year, (Jog and Watve, 2005). The expansion of a species' population and the relationships between species may be affected by parasites (Marathe et al.,2002). The top carnivore in Asian woods, the tiger, was studied by Seidensticker (2002), who looked at the tiger's food and space needs (Panthera tigris). What the tiger needs to live and how apex predators impact community structure were some of the topics covered by this author.

Tropical and subtropical locations across the globe are plagued by parasitic illnesses. Among parasite infections, malaria is among the most lethal. The United States is not immune to parasitic illnesses. Infections caused by parasites are common in the United States:

- trichomoniasis
- giardiasis
- cryptosporidiosis
- toxoplasmosis

Several strategies may be used to transmit parasitic diseases. As an example, parasites like protozoa and helminths may be transmitted by polluted water and food. Sexual interaction may transmit several diseases. Some parasites are transmitted by insects that serve as the disease's "carrier." For example, parasitic protozoa are responsible for malaria, which is spread by mosquitoes after they feed on human blood.

PARASITIC INFECTIONS IN CHILDREN WITH CHRONIC SPONTANEOUS URTICARIA

When urticaria or angioedema persists for more than six weeks, it's considered an uncommon but severe allergic skin condition known as chronic spontaneous urticaria (CSU). It's believed that 0.1–3 percent of children have it. There is a paucity of information on the causes of CSU in children. A tiny number of cases in a few trials have had the underlying aetiology described. There have been several studies that have linked diet, infections, thyroid illness, and autoimmune to the condition. CSU has also been linked to parasites, however a causal linkage between the two has not been shown in the scientific literature. As a matter of fact, total remission can only be achieved by eliminating the underlying cause of CSU.

Only a few studies have looked at the influence of parasite infection removal on urticaria's natural course, and this knowledge is scarce. This comprehensive study reported a broad range of 0–37.8 and 0–100 percent prevalence of parasitic infection in children with CSU and the effectiveness of anti-parasitic therapy in CSU symptoms, respectively. Patients with CSU were shown to have different research designs, inclusion criteria, and definitions of illness or remission criteria for parasite infections compared to those without CSU. The connection between CSU and parasite illnesses is still a mystery. This research sought to examine the influence of parasitic infection therapy on urticaria symptoms in patients with parasites in the stool, as well as the incidence of parasite-associated CSU in a large cohort of patients. The parasitic infection-related CSU was also studied (PIRCSU).

PARASITE-HOST INTERACTIONS

Sometimes, parasites and hosts are thought of as being similar to predators and prey, where one party (the prey or host) tries to avoid contact with the other (the predator or parasite) in fear of losing fitness (or worse, dying) while the other party gains fitness from contact. This is not always true.

Many parasite-host systems, though, aren't as straightforward as this. Depending on the cost of establishing an immune defence vs. just putting up with the parasite, it may make sense for a host to adapt its behaviour and physiology to accept the parasite, or at the very least, to maximise the fitness loss it experiences. For a similar length of time, a parasite may need to stay in the host and provoking the host's wrath might reduce the resources the host can supply or even cause the host's death at an inopportune time (which could be catastrophic to a parasite that relies on the host for its own survival).

In other words, we should be able to use techniques from different domains of behavioural ecology in order to better understand how the parasite and host adapt to each other's needs. It has already been shown that parasitoid infection methods may be studied using state-dependent foraging theory, and that parasite manipulation of host behaviour can alter population biology. Additionally, the Royal Society has supported some experimental work on how state-dependent dynamic game theory might be applied to investigate the moment-to-moment behavioural rules utilised by interacting hosts and parasites. If you're interested in learning more about how this project came to fruition, please get in touch with Sean.

INFECTIOUS DISEASES AND THE ROLE OF WILDLIFE: EMERGENCE AND DETECTION OF INFECTION

It is important to note that wild animals have a significant impact on the economy, society, ecology, and culture. Wildlife has a huge impact on tourism and leisure. The global spread of novel infections is aided by the commerce and movement of wildlife. People get alarmed when large numbers of wildlife die. As a result of infectious illnesses, animal populations might be decimated. It is difficult to regulate and eradicate illnesses in the environment because wild animals serve as reservoirs for many viruses. This has a significant effect on human health and the economy.

As a result, it is impossible to overstate the importance of preserving a varied and healthy range of species. New pathogens or newly evolved strains of existing pathogens, spread to new geographic areas or to new populations, increase in prevalence, detection of previously unrecognised pathogens, and old infections reemerging as a result of antimicrobial resistance are all characteristics of emerging infectious diseases (EID).

Zoonoses account for 60% of all cases of EID in people, and infections from animals are to blame for 72% of these cases, which is on the rise (controlling for reporting effort). EID occurrences are often plagued by vector-borne illnesses. Detection, epidemiology, and communication are only few of the disciplines involved in wildlife illness monitoring.

Surveillance programmes benefit greatly from collaboration across disciplines and national borders. Annually across Europe, more than 18,000 wild animals are the subject of broad ("passive") observation and more than 50,000 are the subject of targeted ("active") surveillance The WildTech EU project, for example, is working on new diagnostic tools for animals. Guidelines on "Principles 14 Wildlife and Infectious Animal Diseases and techniques for the validation of diagnostic tests relevant to wildlife" have been drafted by an ad-hoc committee of the OIE. For the development and execution of measures to preserve the health of people and animals, a thorough understanding of infectious diseases in wildlife and the ability to recognise them quickly are vital.

CONCLUSION

Studies on parasite ecology of large mammalian hosts are fiirther limited by the difficulties in field data collection. Most of the good examples of empirical work on parasite ecology comes from species of hosts that are killed or collected easily and therefore extensive sampling is possible. Since this cannot be done on large mammalian species of conservation importance we have to rely on fecal analysis which is more error prone but is the only method by which substantial amount of data could be collected. After removing or minimizing possible errors or biases, we are left with a number of recognizable patterns that have interesting interpretations. Many of the patterns are robust enough so that the limitations and errors of the data collection methods can be ignored. Errors are more Ukely to destroy or mask existing patterns and much less likely to generate false patterns. The fact that many robust and highly significant patterns emerge from the data ensures the reliability of the methods, in spite of their limitations. The magically consistent relationship between mean and variance of parasite distributions is not only surprising but has long ranging implications. The simulation model, designed to answer the question which processes and factors can give rise to such a peculiar distribution, not only results into very similar consistent relationship, but also matches the parameter values that are empirically obtained. This happens at a restricted set of conditions and therefore it is possible to conclude that these conditions must prevail in nature to get such distributions. The critical processes for parasite transmission appear to be spatial autocatalysis or stochastic spatial pocketing in parasite transmission and a concave or quadratic gradient in host susceptibility. If parasite distributions are so narrowly scattered around the power relationship, it means that only the mean of the distribution describes the entire distribution sufficiently. Since parasite distributions are highly skewed non-parametric tests are generally used to analyze parasite data. However, non-parametric tools are typically less sensitive as compared to tools based on normal distribution. If it is possible to develop a set of statistical tools based on the typical parasite distribution, one might expect to have more sensitive tests specifically to analyze parasite data.

Since parasite loads of different species vary widely over orders of magnitude, it is important to ask why species differ in their parasite loads. Out of all the factors studied, predatory pressure was found to be the most consistendy negatively correlated with the parasite loads. A number of other factors are significant at some level of analysis but predatory pressvire is correlated at all levels. In the ecological explanation, if predators kiU highly parasitized prey individuals in higher proportion, then the infective foci would be continuously removed from the population of the prey resulting in reduced transmission. According to the evolutionary explanation, if parasite loads cause increased susceptibility to predation, there will be greater selective pressure for parasite resistance in prey species. My thesis goes a step further to evaluate the relative importance of evolutionary and ecological explanation. Herbivore populations that have become predator free in ecological time showed greater parasite loads as compared to their counterparts in predator areas. However the parasite loads of species which are predation free in evolutionary time are substantially greater suggesting that the evolutionary factors are more responsible than ecological factors.

REFERENCES

1. Dishane K. Hewavithana, Mayuri R. Wijesinghe, Preethi V. Udagama (2022)" Gastrointestinal parasites of six large mammals in the Wasgomuwa National Park, Sri Lanka". International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife17(2022)1-6.
2. Abeysekara, Nirupama, RPV Jayanthe Rajapakse, and R. S. Rajakaruna. "Comparative cross-sectional survey on gastrointestinal parasites of captive,

semi-captive, and wild elephants of Sri Lanka." Journal of Threatened Taxa 10.5 (2018): 11583-11594.

3. Nirupama Abeysekara, R.P.V. Jayanthe Rajapkse & R.S. Rajakaruna (2018) "Comparative cross-sectional survey on gastrointestinal parasites of captive, semi-captive, and wild Elephants". Journal of Threatened Taxa | www.threatenedtaxa.org | 26 April 2018 | 10(5): 11583–11594
4. Rahman, S., Dey, A., Kundu, U., & Begum, N. (2014). Investigation of gastrointestinal parasites of herbivores at Dhaka National Zoological Garden of Bangladesh. Journal of the Bangladesh Agricultural University, 12(1), 79–85. Retrieved from https://www.banglajol.info/index.php/JBAU/article/view/21245
5. Ute Mackenstedt David Jenkins Thomas Romig (2015) "The role of wildlife in the transmission of parasitic zoonoses in peri-urban and urban areas". International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife 4 (2015) 71–79
6. Jessica Frances Stephenson (2015)" Predators, parasites, and the social behaviour of the guppy Poecilia reticulate".june (2015)
7. Lisa Guardone , Andrea Armani , Francesca Mancianti and Ezio Ferroglio(2022) "A Review on Alaria alata, Toxoplasma gondii and Sarcocystis spp. in Mammalian Game Meat Consumed in Europe: Epidemiology, Risk Management and Future Directions"2022, 12, 263. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani12030263
8. Wood CL, Johnson PT. A world without parasites(2015)" exploring the hidden ecology of infection. Front Ecol Environ". 2015;13(8):425-434. doi:10.1890/140368
9. Roberto Danovaro, Cinzia Corinaldesi, Antonio Dell'Anno, Jed A. Fuhrman, Jack J. Middelburg, Rachel T. Noble, Curtis A. Suttle, Marine viruses and global climate change, FEMS Microbiology Reviews, Volume 35, Issue 6, November 2011, Pages 993–1034, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2010.00258.x
10. LaDue, C.A.; Farinelli, S.M.; Eranda, I.; Jayasinghe, C.; Vandercone, R.P.G. The Influence of Habitat Changes on Elephant Mortality Associated with Human–Elephant Conflict: Identifying Areas of Concern in the North Central Dry Zone of Sri Lanka. Sustainability 2021, 13, 13707. https://doi.org/10.3390/su132413707
11. Hing, Stephanie & Othmn, Nurzhafarina & Nathan, Skss & Fox, Mark & Fisher, M & Goossens, Benoît. (2013). First parasitological survey of Endangered Bornean elephants Elephas maximus borneensis. Endangered Species Research. 21. 223-230. 10.3354/esr00527.
12. Emmanuel Chanda, Alister Kandyata, Javan Chanda, Pascalina Chanda-Kapata WHO (1991) Basic laboratory methods in medical parasitology. In: Basic Malaria Microscopy, Part I, World Heath Organization, Geneva. Journal of Biomedical Science and Engineering, Vol.6 No.7A, July 17, 2013

13. Lynne S. Garcia, Michael Arrowood, Evelyne Kokoskin, Graeme P. Paltridge, Dylan R. Pillai, Gary W. Procop, Norbert Ryan, Robyn Y. Shimizu, Govinda Visvesvara (2017)" Practical Guidance for Clinical Microbiology Laboratories: Laboratory Diagnosis of Parasites from the Gastrointestinal Tract" Journals Clinical Microbiology Reviews Vol. 31, No. 1
14. Abeysinghe, K. S. ; Perera, A. N. F. ; Pastorini, J. ; Isler, K. ; Mammides, C. ; Fernando, P. (2017) Gastrointestinal strongyle infections in captive and wild elephants in Sri Lanka. Gajah. Journal of the Asian Elephant Specialist Group 2017 No.46 pp.21-27 ref.many