

> A peer reviewed international journal ISSN: 2457-0362

www.ijarst.in

## EXPERIMENTAL STUDY ON STABILIZATION OFCOLLAPSIBLESOILSUSINGBENTONITE

1K. CHANDRA MOHAN , 2DASARI SHIVANI

1. M. Tech Student Department of Geotechnical engineering, JNTUH college of engineering, (Telangana) .India..

Email-: kakechandu@gmail.com

2.M.TechStudent Department of Geotechnical engineering, JNTUH college of engineering, (Telangana) .India. Email-: dasarishivani98@gmail.com

**Abstract:** Folding soils are unsaturated soils that breakdown unexpectedly when wetted under stacking. Greater part of normally happening folding soils are Aeolian stores like loess. Red soils are likewise gone under this classification. A few strategies have been utilized to concentrate on the way of behaving of folding soils by understanding their geotechnical properties. In present review bentonite was picked as an added substance and different geotechnical tests have directed on Ben tonite - Red soil blends. To concentrate because of fines on folding way of behaving, the exploratory work says that the foldability was found to diminishes with expansion of 2, 4, 6,8,10 level of bentonite. The breakdown conduct was evaluated from measures like Gibbs (1961), Denisov (1951) and so on, in light of Geotechnical properties.

**KEYWORDS:** bentonite, Collapsible behavior, Red soils

## **1.INTRODUCTION**

Vishakhapatnam region is a rapid industrial urban growth area inhabited by number of infrastructure projects related to roads, residential buildings, embankments, and retaining walls etc., which are found on red soil deposits. Structures founded on these soils subjected to large deformations due to reduction in volume and loss of shear strength when in contact with water causes distress to the structures due to large differential settlements. In the present study red soils were collected and tested for index properties such as grain size distribution, Atterberg's limits, specific gravity and engineering properties like compaction and Strength tests, have been performed.. The degree of collapsible potential is estimated by using index and engineering properties such as grain size distribution, Atterberg's limits, void ratio, degree of saturation, water contents, compacted densities etc. Gibbs (1961), Priklonski (1952), Feda (1964), Denisov (1951)Mitchell & Soga (2005), Pereira (2000), Holden Hiff (1961), Rogers(1994), Clemense & Finbar (1981), Latun (1992) etc are extensively studied on collapsible soils.

## **II. MATERIALS**

The materials used in this investigation are:

- 1. Red Soils
- 2. Bentonite

The soil are collected from different regions in Visakhapatnam region, the soil samples were collected at a depth of 1.0 - 1.5m from the ground level and the collected samples were dried and subjected for geotechnical characteristics such as grain size distribution, plasticity, compaction and Shear strength. Bentonite

Volume 12, Issue 09, Sept 2022



A peer reviewed international journal ISSN: 2457-0362

www.ijarst.in

a ratio of void ratio at liquid limit to natural void ratio expressed as follows:

Coefficient of subsidence K = void ratio at liquid limit / natural void ratio (eL/en) If, K=0.5-0.75: highly collapsible soil;

If, K = 0.75 - 1.5 likely to collapsible;

If, K= 1.5 - 2.0: non collapsible soil GIBBS (1961) proposed a method to measure collapse potential named as in terms as collapsible ratio (R).

It is defined as Collapsible ratio R = wsat/Wl R is greater than 1 Collapsible R is Less than 1 Free from collapsible.

## III. METHODOLOGY

subjected

montmorillonite

characterization

was

Exciting methods of Estimation of Collapsible Potential: DENISOV (1951) used coefficient of subsidence (K) which is

soil is a type of clayey soil having

clay

expands when, comes in contact with water

and shrinks when the water evaporates. it

usually forms from weathering of volcanic

ash. It is hugely available in North West of India. i.e., Kutch area. The dried bentonite

such

consistency, swelling etc., as per IS 2720

for

as

mineral,

which

geotechnical

gradation,

## IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSION A.GEOTECHNICAL PROPERTIES OF RED SOIL OF VISAKHAPATNAM REGION

Sand (%)	85
Silt (%)	13
Clay (%)	2
Specific Gravity G	2.65
Liquid Limit (%)	21.00
Plastic Limit (%)	18.00
Plasticity Index (Ip)	3.00
IS Classification	SM
(OMC %)	9.20
(MDD g/cc)	1.74
C (t/m2)	1.00
Φ (Degrees)	30

## **B. GEOTECHNICAL PROPERTIES OF BENTONITE:**

<75µm (%)	100
<2µm (%)	78
<1µm(%)	60
Specific gravity	2.71
Liquid limit	486
Plastic limit	72

Plasticity index	414
Is classification	СН
OMC (%)	50
MDD (g/c.c)	1.25
Free swell index (%)	780
Swell pressure (kN/m2)	520



> A peer reviewed international journal ISSN: 2457-0362

www.ijarst.in

#### C.VARIATION OF INDEX PROPERTIES OF RED SOIL (SM) WITH BENTONITE :

Bentonite Percentag e +SM SOLS	WL( %)	Wp( %)	IP( %)	OMC( %)	MDD (%)
SM+0	21	18	3	9.2	1.74
SM+2%	25	19	6	10.2	1.76
SM+4%	30	20	10	12	1.79
SM+6%	36	21	15	14	1.81
SM+8%	43	22	21	16.5	1.77
SM+10%	50	23	27	19.0	1.72

Test results of Red soil (SM) mixed with Bentonite has the following identifications. Increases the percentage of Bentonite increases liquid limit, plastic limit and plasticity index values. The percentage of Bentonite means increasing the percentage of fines particularly clay particles. These particles require more water to nullify their electric charges which increases a thin film of moisture coated around the particles inform of diffusion is known as diffused double layer. Increasing the percentage of Bentonite increases OMC gradually. This is due to the fines of Bentonite particles. In case of maximum dry density it is identified that increasing the percentage of Bentonite increases dry density by locking of moisture with clay surface upto 6% and then decreases



## Fig (a)

D.Variation of Denisov coefficient with dry density variation of percentage of bentonite:



A peer reviewed international journal ISSN: 2457-0362

www.ijarst.in

Y <sub>d</sub> (g/cc)	1.55- 1.6	1.6- 1.65	1.65- 1.7	1.7- 1.75	1.75- 1.8
Soil s↓	Denisov coefficient of subsidence $oldsymbol{\downarrow}$				
SM	0.78	0.85	1.00	1.08	
SM+2%	0.934	1.05	1.186	1.224	1.31
SM+4%	1.211	1.35	1.494	1.59	1.65
SM+6%	1.424	1.6	1.763	1.92	2.05
SM+8%	1.63	1.795	1.942	2.13	2.294
SM+10%	1.957	2.12	2.26	2.39	2.45

Υ <sub>d</sub> (g/cc)à	1.55 -1.6	1.6- 1.65	1.65- 1.7	1.7- 1.75	1.75- 1.8
So ils↓	Gibbs collapible				
SM	1.32	1.19	1.00	0.93	
SM+2%	1.07	0.943	0.905	0.84	0.762
SM+4%	0.82 5	0.737	0.669	0.625	0.604
SM+6%	0.70 2	0.625	0.567	0.521	0.486
SM+8%	0.61 2	0.56	0.515	0.471	0.436
SM+10 %	0.51	0.47	0.44	0.41	0.4



#### Fig(b)

E.VARIATION OF GIBBS RATIO WITH DRY DENSITY AT DIFFERENT PERCENTAGE OFBENTONITE:





A peer reviewed international journal ISSN: 2457-0362 www.ijarst.in

## **IV. CONCLUSION:**

1.Red soils compacted at dry densities in between 1.4g/cc-1.5g/cc susceptible to high collapsible 1.5-1.7 and 1.7-1.8g/cc, which are at their MDD are free from collapsible.

2.Addition of Bentoniteincreses plasticity index and degree of saturation of SM soils.

3.Addition of Bentonite decreases potentials of collapsible behavior when compacted at dry densities in between 1.5g/cc-1.6g/cc.

4.Denisov's and Gibbs approaches shows that addition of bentonite to red soils decressescolalpsiable potential upto 10%

#### V. REFERENCES

1. AASHTO Standard M 145, 2003: Classification of Soils and Soil-Aggregate Mixtures for Highway Construction Purposes, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington D.C

2. Amiya Prakash Das &T.Thyagaraj "Collapse behaviour of compacted red soil" International Journal of geotechnical Engineering, Vol-12, Issue-1,pp-20-27. 3Denisov, N. Y. (1951). Mechanical properties of loess and loams, in Russia Moscow,136p.

4. Feda J. Collapse of loess upon wetting. Engineering Geology 1988:25 (2-4): pp: 263-9.

5. Gibbs, H. J. (1961). "Properties which divide loess and dense uncemented soils."" Earth laboratory report EM-658, Bureau of Reclamation, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington D.C.

6 Gibbs, H.J. and Holland, W.Y. (1960): Petrographic and engineering properties of loess, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Denver., Engineering Monograph, vol.28, pp.1-37.

7. Holtz, W. G., and Hilf, J. W.(1961) ,,,,Settlements of soil foundations due to saturation.<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup> Proc. 5th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, 673–679. 8. IS 2720: Part 5: 1985 Methods of test for soils – Part 5: Determination of liquid limit and plastic limit

9. Knight K. (1963) The origin and occurrence of collapsing soils. In: Proceedings of the 3rd regional conference for Africa on soil mechanics and foundation engineering; 1963. p. 127-30. 10.Pereira, J.H.F., and Fredlund, D.G. (2000); "Volume change behaviour of collapsible gneiss soil." Journal compacted of Geotechnical and Geo-environmental Engineering, 126 (10), 907 – 916